

ROCHFORD LOCAL HIGHWAYS PANEL MEETING AGENDA

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Date: | 24th January 2022 |
| Time: | 10:00 |
| Venue: | Video Conference Call |
| Chairman: | CC June Lumley |
| Vice Chairman: | CC Mike Steptoe |
| Panel Members: | CC Michael Hoy, Cllr Angelina Marriott (EALC representative), Cllr Cheryl Roe, CC Lauren Shaw, Cllr Phil Shaw, Cllr Ian Ward, Cllr Carole Weston |
| Officers: | EH James Drabwell – Highway Liaison Officer EH Rissa Long – Senior Highways Liaison Officer EH Ian Henderson – Road Safety Engineering Team Leader |
| Secretariat: | RDC Weronika Szyszka/Kerry Hines – Democratic Services Officer |

| Page | Item | Subject | Lead | Paper |
|---------|------|---|---------------|----------|
| | 1 | Welcome & introductions | Chairman | Verbal |
| | 2 | Apologies for absence & declarations of interest | Chairman | Verbal |
| 2 - 10 | 3 | Minutes of meeting held on 13 th September 2021 to be agreed as a correct record | Chairman | Verbal |
| | 4 | Matters arising from minutes of previous meeting | Chairman | Verbal |
| | 5 | Update from Ian Henderson on Casualty Reduction Schemes 22/23 | Ian Henderson | Verbal |
| 11 -15 | 6 | Report on Funded Schemes | Tom Eng | Report 1 |
| 16 - 23 | 7 | Report on Schemes Awaiting Funding | Tom Eng | Report 2 |
| | 8 | Date of next meeting: 14 th March 2022 | Chairman | Verbal |

- ✚ Any member of the public wishing to attend the Rochford Local Highways Panel (LHP) must arrange a formal invitation from the Chairman no later than 1 week prior to the meeting.
- Any public questions should be submitted to the Highway Liaison Officer no later than 1 week before the LHP meeting date; james.drabwell@essexhighways.org

ROCHFORD LOCAL HIGHWAYS PANEL MINUTES 13 SEPTEMBER 2021 AT 10.00AM

REMOTE MEETING

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Chairman: | Cllr Mrs June Lumley (ECC) |
| Vice-Chairman: | Cllr Mike Steptoe (ECC) |
| Panel Members: | Cllr Craig Cannell (ECC), Cllr Michael Hoy (ECC), Cllr Mrs Laureen Shaw (ECC), Cllr Phil Shaw (RDC), Cllr Ian Ward (RDC), Cllr Mrs Carole Weston (RDC) |
| Officers: | S Church – Highway Liaison Manager T Eng – Assistant Highway Liaison Officer |
| Secretariat: | L Morris – RDC Democratic Services Officer |

| Item | | Owner |
|------|--|-------|
| 1. | The Chairman requested for 'any other business' to be removed from the agenda in future. | |
| 2. | <p>Apologies were received from Cllr Cheryl Roe.</p> <p>All Members present declared a non-pecuniary interest by virtue of membership of Rochford District Council.</p> <p>Cllr June Lumley and Cllr Ian Ward declared a non-pecuniary interest by virtue of membership of Rayleigh Town Council. Cllr Mike Steptoe declared a non-pecuniary interest by virtue of membership of Barling Magna Parish Council. Cllr Phil Shaw declared a non-pecuniary interest by virtue of membership of Stambridge Parish Council.</p> <p>Cllr Michael Hoy declared a non-pecuniary interest by virtue of being associated with a resident of Thorpe Road (Item 3 on Schemes Awaiting Funding).</p> | |
| 3. | <p>The minutes of the meeting held on 14 June 2021 were agreed as a correct record, subject to the below amendments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cllr Mike Steptoe to be added as Vice-Chairman on page 1 • Cllr Michael Hoy highlighted that he put forward the | |

| | | |
|----|--|--|
| | <p>scheme referred to on page 10, rather than Cllr Craig Cannell as stated in the minutes</p> | |
| 4. | <p>No matters arising from the minutes of the previous meeting were raised.</p> | |
| 5. | <p>Sonia Church gave a verbal presentation of Vehicle Activated Signs.</p> <p>Sonia Church advised that the Highways Practice Note had been updated approximately five months ago, and was now ready to be rolled out.</p> <p>Sonia Church advised that parishes would now be able to choose to buy their own VAS or SID signs if they have a perceived speeding problem, while non-parished areas would still be able to continue to use the LPH process. The LPH would now only be able to fund VAS signs if speeding issues were significant (10mph average over limit) and with a proven speed survey. Sonia Church also advised that any signs beyond their serviceable life would be offered to the parishes to maintain.</p> <p>Parishes would be able to install these signs in certain places in order to ensure that they are safe. Parishes would be able to apply via the licensing process and would be able to find the criteria for these signs on the website.</p> <p>Cllr Mike Steptoe raised the issue of cost for the parishes and queried if there were any expectations of what the cost would be. Sonia Church advised that the cost would be approximately £3,500. Cllr Mike Steptoe further queried if County Council engineers would survey the location prior to installation to ensure that it was fit for purpose, to which Sonia Church advised that this would be the parishes responsibility and would need to employ a contractor that was suitable for working on the network, and that any ongoing maintenance would also be the parishes responsibility. Members were reassured that there would be plenty of information on the website to aid parishes with this decision.</p> <p>Cllr Mike Steptoe queried what would be counted as a 'significant speeding issue' and was advised that this would be an average of 10mph over the existing speed limit.</p> <p>Regarding the removal of existing VAS signs, Cllr Mike Steptoe asked if a survey would be conducted to ensure that there was no further requirement for this sign. SC advised that if there were signs that were beyond repair</p> | |

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | <p>(other than those that would cost less than £1,000, which would be deducted from the LHP revenue budget) and would contact the County Member to ask if they would require the site to be assessed prior to removal.</p> <p>Cllr Ian Ward raised that he had seen a large imbalance in speed measures in the south of the county and felt that VAS signs were extremely helpful but was unaware of any within the Rochford District. Sonia Church advised that there were 17 within the district, and the new policy would give continuity across the Council where it may not have existed before.</p> <p>Regarding the cut off of £1,000 to repair signs, Cllr Michael Hoy queried if the parish would have the option to replace the sign if it was deemed to be over £1,000. Sonia Church clarified that this would be the case and that the parish would simply need to fill in a licensing application. Cllr June Lumley queried when this scheme was due to begin and was advised that this would be towards the end of September. Sonia Church advised that the surveys had already been undertaken during lockdown, and were currently looking for a company to advise upon repairs.</p> <p>Cllr Laureen Shaw raised that there was a VAS sign in Stambridge that had been inspected, but was advised that this sign was slightly different as it was maintained by the ITS Team who had investigated this and determined that it was beyond repair. Therefore, a new sign would need to be installed and Thomas Eng was in the process of finding out what the cost would be and advised that this could either be funded by the LHP or taken care of by the ITS team, but did advise that the latter option could take some time. Cllr Laureen Shaw raised that there had been a significant number of accidents in this area, which was near a school.</p> <p>Cllr Mike Steptoe asked if it would be possible for Members to receive a list of all of the signs within the District, and who was responsible for them. Sonia Church advised that the current list was for internal use and could distribute this to the Councillors, but requested that this be kept private. Cllr Mike Steptoe suggested that the Members could look at the VAS signs within their ward and update Sonia Church.</p> <p>Cllr Ian Ward requested for the presentation to be circulated, which Sonia Church confirmed she would do following the completion of the panels. Cllr Ian Ward also</p> | <p style="text-align: center;">TE</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SC</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SC</p> |
|--|--|--|

| | | |
|-----------|--|---------------------|
| | <p>queried if any VAS signs had been affected by issues with power, and was advised that there were no records of any issues, and any new signs installed would no go into the power grid and would instead be battery operated.</p> | |
| <p>6.</p> | <p>Thomas Eng indicated that there was a remaining budget of £69,250 and had been advised that this budget could go into next year, and advised that it would be best not to allocate any further funding from this point as there was quite a backlog. Sonia Church further advised that if there were any schemes that the panel would like to allocate funding to, then this would form the beginning of the following years' projects.</p> <p>Rochford Town Centre, Market Square Improvements – Cllr Mike Steptoe advised that he would be keen to ensure that the survey takes place at the appropriate time, and asked to be communicated with when this was due to take place. Cllr Mike Steptoe asked when Quarter 4 would begin, and was advised by Tom Eng that this would be at the beginning of March.</p> <p>Little Wheatley Chase, Rayleigh – 20 When Lights Flash – CMA was currently with the Cabinet Member for consideration and stated that if the zebra crossing proceeded then they would be unable to install the '20 When Lights Flash'.</p> <p>PROW 71, Rayleigh – Street Lighting – Thomas Eng advised that he was aware that there had been a meeting between Rochford District Council officers and Members but had not received any feedback on this as of yet. Cllr June Lumley advised that she had spoken with the Portfolio Holder to ask that an official response was received from this site visit. Thomas Eng confirmed that he would advise Cllr June Lumley when he received this response. Sonia Church advised that Rochford District Council would carry out this work and maintain it, but that it could be funded by the LHP.</p> <p>High Street/Eastwood Road/Crown Hill, Rayleigh – Mini RAB Improvements – Cllr Ian Ward asked if they would be able to look at a proper traffic management system in this location, as it was felt that there are a significant amount of issues here. Thomas Eng advised that this was a location that would be looked at, but that there was a concern that if anything was put in place then it may impact the traffic sensitivity in a way that could make it worse. Cllr Michael Hoy recalled that a major</p> | <p>TE</p> <p>SC</p> |

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| | <p>presentation had previously been given on Rayleigh Town Centre with a lot of information included as to what would and would not work, and suggested that this may be updated. Cllr June Lumley advised that there was a concern from Rayleigh Town Council that they had been waiting for over two years on further involvement on a previous exercise and had many obstacles along the way. Sonia Church advised that the LHP had spent around £80,000 on a strategic look at the whole of Rayleigh, with specialists looking at congestion issues and considered a number of changes but the conclusion was that Rayleigh is at maximum capacity and any measures installed would simply cause issues elsewhere within the area. Sonia Church advised that she would share this information with the Members, but could not see a solution.</p> <p>Cllr Ian Ward was concerned that a number of issues were identified including problems with traffic lights and issues with pedestrian crossings, but that the cost of rectifying the problem was far too expensive and it was not able to be funded. Cllr Craig Cannell queried if it would be possible to have access to both of the previous surveys that had been carried out. Sonia Church confirmed that she would send this out, and advised that this was completed in 2015.</p> <p>Wakering Road, Great Wakering – 40mph Speed Limit – Cllr Mike Steptoe advised that he had queried if it would be possible for this work to be carried out at the same time as the work on Poynters Lane/Great Wakering Road and asked for an update. Thomas Eng advised that one was a casualty reduction speed, while the other was a speed limit change, therefore the latter had a lot of legal work that may take a long time. Thomas Eng advised that the Casualty Reduction Team had asked for this particular site to be made a priority, so suspected that this scheme would be taking place imminently.</p> <p>Cheapside West, Rayleigh – Grasscrete – Cllr June Lumley asked if a site visit for this scheme had taken place yet and was advised by Sonia Church that this had not yet taken place, and would like to meet Cllr June Lumley on site. Cllr Craig Cannell also asked to attend this visit.</p> <p>Upway, Rayleigh – Grasscrete – Cllr Ian Ward asked for an update on this scheme and was advised that this was down for design only and the only commission that would be undertaken this year would be the detailed design element.</p> | |
|--|---|--|

| | | |
|----|---|----|
| | <p>Little Wakering Road, Barling – Wig-Wag – Cllr Mike Steptoe queried who would be taking up the responsibility for maintenance of wig-wag signs. Sonia Church advised that there were two sets of wig-wags that come under different responsibility, with some maintained at the cost of the school crossing patrol, with the other being maintained by the LHP revenue budget. Thomas Eng also advised that this had been pushed forward in the programme, and was now scheduled for 29 November 2021.</p> <p>Little Wheatley Chase, Rayleigh – Pedestrian Crossing – Cllr June Lumley asked if there was an indication of a time frame on a decision for this and was advised by Thomas Eng that this was a decision that usually took 3-4 weeks, but had not yet received an update on this, and that the recommendation within the report had been changed for the zebra crossing to proceed.</p> <p>Poynters Lane/Great Wakering Road – Casualty Reduction Scheme – Cllr Mike Steptoe queried who would be responsible for the ongoing maintenance of the VAS sign installed at this location and was advised that this would come under the LHP remit. Sonia Church further advised that it may be that the Casualty Reduction and Road Safety scheme may have their own budget in future that may cover this.</p> | |
| 7. | <p>Thomas Eng advised that the total budget for schemes that had been deemed to be deliverable was £25,000 as set out on page 18. Members would be able to agree these schemes, but they would not be started until the following year.</p> <p>Thorpe Road, Hockley – Vehicular Access Restriction – engineering team had visited the site and advised that there was currently an ‘unsuitable for HGV’s’ sign in place. Cllr Laureen Shaw advised that this was an unadopted road and that she had sent communications to Thomas Eng to advise that residents wanted to implement planters and restrict access. Thomas Eng advised that this scheme could be removed. Cllr Mike Steptoe raised that residents were looking to clarify if there were highways rights over this road for vehicular access. Sonia Church advised that she had spoken to the relevant department about this and would return to Councillors to confirm what the conclusion was. Cllr Laureen Shaw advised that she had sent a petition to</p> | SC |

| | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|
| | <p>Thomas Eng signed by all of the residents. Cllr June Lumley advised all that it would be best to take this item offline.</p> <p>Barling Road, Great Wakering – Speed Limit – Validation results show that a blanket 30mph would not be adhered to, therefore the recommendation was to remove the scheme from the list. Cllr Mike Steptoe advised that he was happy for this to be removed, but would find it very useful to be given a policy document to outline criteria regarding changing speed limits. Thomas Eng advised that the speed management strategy was being rewritten, but could distribute the current policy to the panel.</p> <p>Thomas Eng asked the panel if they would like to make funding recommendations for the next financial year, or if they would prefer to wait for the return of the validations and further costings in March. Cllr June Lumley asked when these decisions would need to be made, and was advised that the majority of decisions would usually be made in March.</p> <p>High Road/Brook Road – Signing AND High Road/Brook Road, Rayleigh Weir – Junction Improvements – Cllr Ian Ward requested to take Item 5 and 6 together as he wished to gain an understanding of safety issues. Cllr Ward advised that he had often witnessed people turning right at the ‘no right turn’ junction and suggested the installation of cameras may deter people from doing this. Thomas Eng advised that the site had been inspected and the ‘no right turn’ sign was faded and therefore, one of the recommendations would be to replace this sign. It was also proposed that a feasibility study to change the junction was being investigated.</p> <p>Dawes Heath Road, Rayleigh – Horse Warning Signs – in validation. Once results were received, Thomas Eng would share these with County Members.</p> <p>Shoebury Road, Great Wakering – in validation. Once results were received, Thomas Eng would share these with County Members.</p> <p>Canewdon Road, Ashingdon & Lark Hill Road, Canewdon – Signage Improvements – Thomas Eng had visited the site and noted that there was a lack of available verge width to be able to provide these signs, therefore, they would be unable to do so. Cllr Laureen</p> | <p>TE</p> <p>TE</p> <p>TE</p> |
|--|--|-------------------------------|

| | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|
| | <p>Shaw agreed that this would not be feasible, and the panel agreed to remove this item.</p> <p>Lesney Gardens, Ashingdon – Bollards – in validation. Once results were received, Thomas Eng would share these with County Members.</p> <p>Crown Hill/Castle Terrace, Rayleigh – Visibility – in validation. Once results were received, Thomas Eng would share these with County Members.</p> <p>Thomas Eng advised that all six of the walking schemes were currently in validation. Cllr Mike Steptoe questioned if the results would be returned by March 2022 and was advised by Thomas Eng that he was hopeful they would be completed by December 2021.</p> <p>Anchor Lane, Canewdon – Bus Shelter – in validation. Thomas Eng advised that unfortunately, no further progress had been made on this. Cllr Laureen Shaw raised that there was a mistake on the report which showed that this was listed under Rochford South, while it should have been Rochford North. Cllr Mike Steptoe raised that his parish had been looking at supplying bus shelters and queried if this could be part of the devolution process for bus shelters. Sonia Church advised that she had received an email from the team working on this who were formulating an updated timeline which was not ready to be shared. Sonia Church advised that when this was finalised, the announcement about devolution would be made and delays were being faced due to the impact of COVID-19.</p> <p>PROW 71, Rayleigh – Footway Improvements – RDC had been informed that this was not part of the public highway, therefore Essex Highways would not recommend proceeding with this scheme. Sonia Church advised Members that as this was a bridleway, there was a restriction to the type of surfacing that could be applied and an issue with the width of the path, as this had not been made wide enough upon installation. Cllr June Lumley confirmed that she had pursued this with officers and the Portfolio Holder at the District Councillor. Cllr Craig Cannell also advised that there were some issues at the moment with the signage on site which had to be replaced frequently. Cllr June Lumley advised that she had not received an update on this recently, and advised that this was taken offline.</p> <p>Cllr Mike Steptoe asked if there were any implications</p> | <p>TE</p> <p>TE</p> <p>TE</p> |
|--|--|-------------------------------|

| | | |
|------------------|---|--|
| | <p>coming forward from the coastal path from Battlesbridge to Shoebury that the LHP would need to pick up on. Sonia Church advised that she was unsure how this would be managed. Cllr Mike Steptoe further advised that a lot of footpaths were owned by landowners, but there was a section in the Hullbridge area that had collapsed. Cllr June Lumley advised that this was being discussed at the River Crouch Coastal Community Team meetings, and further added that she would also be interested to know what LHP could do in this area. Sonia Church advised that she would investigate outside of the meeting and return to Members with an answer.</p> | |
| <p>8.</p> | <p>The date of the next meeting was agreed for Monday 13 December 2021 at 10am.</p> | |

REPORT 1

ROCHFORD LOCAL HIGHWAY PANEL

2021/22 FUNDED SCHEMES LIST

This report provides an update on the current position of all the schemes which the Rochford Local Highway Panel has recommended for inclusion in the 2021/22 programme.

| Budget summary 2021-22 | |
|---|----------|
| Capital Budget | £214,000 |
| Additional Capital Funding | £200,000 |
| Safer Roads Schemes | £38,250 |
| Estimated Value of other Commissioned Schemes | £306,500 |
| Remaining Budget to Allocate | £69,250 |

Members are reminded that the costs supplied are budget allocations only and there is the possibility that a final scheme cost could change dependant on issues which may arise especially during detailed design and construction. The panel will be informed of any budget adjustments required.

Funded Schemes 2021-22

| | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----------|--------|
| Total Value of Schemes | £344,750 | Completed | Update |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----------|--------|

| Ref | Scheme name | Description | Division | Parish | Scheme Category | Scheme stage | Cost Code | Allocated Budget | Comments | Est Completion |
|-----|--|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------|------------|------------------|--|----------------|
| 1 | Rochford Town Centre, Market Square Improvements | Various surveys and completion of Feasibility Study. | Rochford South | Rochford | Traffic Management | Feasibility | LROC172094 | £5,000 | | Q4 |
| 2 | Star Lane, Great Wakering – Traffic Calming | Design and implementation of gateway features and signage improvements. | Rochford South | Great Wakering | Traffic Management | Total Scheme | LROC192002 | £13,000 | The job pack has been sent to the Direct Delivery gang for implementation. | Q3 |
| 3 | Rochford Garden Way, Rochford - Grasscrete | Design and implementation of grasscrete at various locations for parking. | Rochford South | Rochford | Traffic Management | Total Scheme | LROC162058 | £28,500 | Scheme completed in November 2021. | COMPLETED |
| 4 | Twyford Avenue, Great Wakering – Grasscrete | Design and implementation of grasscrete on the eastern side / southern end of Twyford Avenue. | Rochford South | Great Wakering | Traffic Management | Total Scheme | LROC152063 | £23,500 | | Q3 |
| 5 | Nelsons Gardens, Rayleigh - Footway | Detailed design to improve the footway with a resin bound gravel to prevent root damage from occurring in the future. As part of the detailed design, there will be consultation with RDC and the ECC Arboriculture Team. | Rayleigh North | Rayleigh Town | Walking | Design | LROC193001 | £4,000 | | Q3 |
| 6 | Ashingdon Road, Rochford - Bollards | Design and implementation of bollards on the grass area. | Rochford South | Rochford | Traffic Management | Total scheme | LROC192005 | £10,500 | This scheme will now be delivered by the capital footway team. | Q4 |
| 7 | Main Road, Hockley - Bollards | Design and implementation of bollards. | Rochford North | Hockley | Traffic Management | Total Scheme | LROC192009 | £12,000 | The job pack has been sent to the Direct Delivery gang for implementation. | Q3 |

Funded Schemes 2021-22

| | |
|------------------------|-----------------|
| Total Value of Schemes | £344,750 |
|------------------------|-----------------|

| | |
|-----------|--------|
| Completed | Update |
|-----------|--------|

| Ref | Scheme name | Description | Division | Parish | Scheme Category | Scheme stage | Cost Code | Allocated Budget | Comments | Est Completion |
|-----|--|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------|------------|------------------|--|----------------|
| 8 | New Road / Shoebury Road, Great Wakering - Signing | Design and implementation of signage improvements. | Rochford South | Great Wakering | Traffic Management | Total Scheme | LROC182012 | £5,500 | | Q4 |
| 9 | High Street / Eastwood Road / Crown Hill, Rayleigh - Mini RAB improvements | Feasibility Study to look at possible improvements at the junctions of Eastwood Road, High Street and Crown Hill, High Street, Rayleigh, due to regularly damaged street furniture. | Rayleigh South | Rayleigh Town | Traffic Management | Feasibility | LROC182001 | £4,000 | | Q4 |
| 10 | A127 / A129 Rayleigh Weir Roundabout - Lane Signage and Markings | Feasibility Study to determine if any improvements can be made to the lane marking and road signage on the A127 / A129 Rayleigh Weir roundabout. | Rayleigh South | Rayleigh Town | Traffic Management | Feasibility | LROC182017 | £4,000 | | Q4 |
| 12 | Ashingdon Road, Rochford - Zebra Crossing Upgrade | Zebra crossing upgrade - Halo beacons. | Rochford South | Rochford | Walking | Total scheme | LROC192012 | £17,500 | This scheme will be delivered by the capital footway team in January. | Q3 |
| 13 | Little Wheatley Chase, Rayleigh - 20 When Lights Flash | Design and implementation of a 20 When Lights Flash scheme outside of Our Lady of Ransom Catholic Primary School. | Rayleigh South | Rayleigh Town | Traffic Management | Total scheme | LROC192011 | £8,000 | This scheme will conflict with the zebra crossing scheme on this road. Since a CMA has instructed officers to proceed with the crossing, this scheme has been cancelled. | N/A |
| 14 | Wakering Road, Great Wakering - 40mph Speed Limit | Design and implementation of a 40mph buffer zone. | Rochford South | Great Wakering | Traffic Management | Total scheme | LROC192013 | £12,000 | | Q4 |

Funded Schemes 2021-22

| | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----------|--------|
| Total Value of Schemes | £344,750 | Completed | Update |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----------|--------|

| Ref | Scheme name | Description | Division | Parish | Scheme Category | Scheme stage | Cost Code | Allocated Budget | Comments | Est Completion |
|-----|---|--|----------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------|------------|------------------|--|----------------|
| 15 | PROW 71, Rayleigh - Street Lighting | Design and implementation of street lighting improvements along the PROW. | Various | Rayleigh Town | Public Rights of Way | Total scheme | LROC198002 | £39,000 | The location of the lighting request does not form part of the public maintainable highway. Awaiting confirmation from RDC as to whether they wish to implement the project. | TBC |
| 16 | Anchor Lane, Canewdon - Village Gateway Repositioning | Design and implementation of gateway features. | Rochford North | Canewdon | Traffic Management | Total scheme | LROC192015 | £11,000 | | Q4 |
| 17 | Little Wakering Road, Barling - Wig-Wag | Design and implementation of a scheme to move the Wig-Wag to prevent it from being struck. | Rochford South | Barling Magna | Traffic Management | Total scheme | LROC192018 | £23,500 | | Q4 |
| 18 | Coronation Close, Great Wakering - Grasscrete | Design and implementation of grasscrete. | Rochford South | Great Wakering | Traffic Management | Total scheme | LROC192020 | £26,000 | | Q4 |
| 19 | Cheapside West, Rayleigh - Grasscrete | Design and implementation of grasscrete. | Rayleigh South | Rayleigh Town | Traffic Management | Total scheme | LROC192021 | £8,000 | Scheme funded as part of the additional 21/22 LHP funding, scheme may slip into 22/23. | Q4 |
| 20 | Hall Road, Rochford - Lane Layout | Design and implementation of lining improvements. | Rochford South | Rochford | Traffic Management | Total scheme | LROC192023 | £6,500 | | Q4 |
| 21 | Upway, Rayleigh - Grasscrete | Design of improvements to the footway, as well as lining improvements. | Rayleigh North | Rayleigh Town | Traffic Management | Design | LROC202001 | £4,000 | | Q4 |
| 22 | Little Wheatley Chase, Rayleigh - Pedestrian Crossing | Detailed design of a zebra crossing within the vicinity of the school. | Rayleigh South | Rayleigh Town | Walking | Design | LROC203001 | £10,000 | The CMA has now been signed by the Cabinet Member instructing officers to proceed with the design of the crossing. | Q4 |

Funded Schemes 2021-22

| | |
|------------------------|----------|
| Total Value of Schemes | £344,750 |
|------------------------|----------|

| | |
|-----------|--------|
| Completed | Update |
|-----------|--------|

| Ref | Scheme name | Description | Division | Parish | Scheme Category | Scheme stage | Cost Code | Allocated Budget | Comments | Est Completion |
|-----|--|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|------------|------------------|--|----------------|
| 23 | Fountain Lane, Hockley - Unsuitable for HGVs sign | Design and implementation of 'Unsuitable for HGVs' signage. | Rochford West | Hockley | Traffic Management | Total scheme | LROC202005 | £5,500 | Scheme funded as part of the additional 21/22 LHP funding, scheme may slip into 22/23. | Q4 |
| 24 | Church Road, Hockley - Narrow Road Signage | Design and implementation of Narrow Road signage. | Rochford West | Hockley | Traffic Management | Total scheme | LROC202006 | £5,500 | Scheme funded as part of the additional 21/22 LHP funding, scheme may slip into 22/23. | Q4 |
| 25 | Brinkworth Close, Hockley - Staggered Barrier | Design and implementation of a staggered barrier. | Rochford West | Hockley | Walking | Total scheme | LROC203002 | £5,500 | Scheme funded as part of the additional 21/22 LHP funding, scheme may slip into 22/23. | Q4 |
| 26 | Anchor Lane, Canewdon - 20's Plenty | Design and implementation of a 20's plenty scheme. | Rochford North | Canewdon | Traffic Management | Total scheme | LROC202008 | £6,500 | The engineering team have visited the site and have recommended that this scheme should be cancelled, due to the fact that 20's Plenty would have little effect. | Q3 |
| 27 | Main Road, Hawkwell - Zebra Crossing Visibility | Detailed design to investigate installing task lighting as well as lining improvements. | Rochford North | Hawkwell | Traffic Management | Design | LROC202013 | £8,000 | Design/investigation funded from additional 21/22 LHP funding, Design may slip into 22/23. | Q4 |
| 28 | Hall Road / West Street / Ashingdon Road, Rochford - Casualty Reduction scheme | Traffic surveys to determine road user origin and destination, vehicular speeds and potential collision causation, leading to feasibility study | Rochford South | Rochford | Safer Roads | Feasibility | LROC201001 | £6,500 | | Q4 |
| 29 | A1245 / Chelmsford Road, Battlesbridge - Casualty Reduction scheme | Junction warning signs, road markings and vegetation clearance to improve visibility. | Rayleigh North | Rawreth | Safer Roads | Total scheme | LROC201002 | £10,500 | | Q3 |
| 30 | Poynters Lane / Great Wakering Road - Casualty Reduction scheme | Implementation of design for stop line and VAS. | Rochford South | Great Wakering | Safer Roads | Implementation | LROC191001 | £20,000 | | Q3 |
| 31 | Post construction safety audits | | | | Safer Roads | | | £1,250 | | N/A |

ROCHFORD LOCAL HIGHWAY PANEL REPORT 2 – SCHEMES AWAITING FUNDING

The Schemes Awaiting Funding List identifies all of the requests that have been received for the consideration of the Rochford Local Highways Panel. The Panel are asked to review the schemes, start to make their funding recommendations for those schemes they wish to see delivered and also choose to remove any which they would not wish to consider for future funding.

The breakdown of scheme types available for consideration is as below:

| Budget Summary | |
|----------------------|----------------|
| Traffic Management | £25,500 |
| Walking | £8,500 |
| Public Rights of Way | £0 |
| Passenger Transport | £0 |
| Total | £34,000 |

Costs supplied are estimates only and there is the possibility that a final scheme cost can change significantly dependant on issues which may arise during detailed design and construction.

On the Schemes Awaiting Funding List, the RAG column acknowledges the status of the scheme request as shown below:

| | |
|----------|---|
| G | The scheme has been validated as being feasible and is available for consideration |
| A | The scheme has previously been approved for a feasibility study and the results are awaited before the scheme can be fully considered |
| R | A scheme request has been received but is against ECC policy or there is no appropriate engineering solution |
| V | A scheme request has been received and is in the initial validation process |

REPORT 2

Traffic Management

| | |
|------------------------|----------------|
| Total Value of schemes | £25,500 |
|------------------------|----------------|

| Ref | Scheme name | Description | Division | Parish | Scheme stage | Cost Code | Estimated Cost | Comments | RAG |
|-----|---|--|----------------|----------------|--------------|------------|----------------|--|-----|
| 1 | Thorpe Road, Hockley - Vehicular Access Restriction | Third Party request to remove highway rights and restrict access. | Rochford North | Hockley | Validation | LROC192022 | TBC | There is currently an unsuitable for HGVs sign on site. Any other measures will need to be requested by the residents and sent to the landowner. | R |
| 3 | Thorpe Road, Hockley / Main Road, Hawkwell - HGV Restriction | Request for no access for HGV sign at the junction with Thorpe Road and/or a physical restriction at the southern end. | Rochford North | Hawkwell | Validation | LROC202009 | TBC | In validation. | V |
| 4 | Paglesham Road, Paglesham - Ducks in Road Signs | Request for Ducks in Road signs. | Rochford North | Paglesham | Total scheme | LROC202011 | £4,000 | The validation has recommended to proceed with the design and implementation of signage to warn road users that they may encounter ducks in the road. | G |
| 5 | High Road / Brook Road - Signing | Improvement at junction of Brook Road and High Road, Rayleigh Weir | Rayleigh South | Rayleigh Town | Validation | LROC202012 | £5,500 | The validation has recommended that it would be possible to replace the existing faded signage to highlight the presence of the no right turn. | G |
| 6 | High Road / Brook Road, Rayleigh Weir - Junction Improvements | Request to determine what improvements can be made to the junction to improve safety. | Rayleigh South | Rayleigh Town | Feasibility | LROC202012 | £5,500 | The validation has recommended that a feasibility study is commissioned to consider potential junction improvements, including a topographical survey. | G |
| 7 | Daws Heath Road, Rayleigh - Horse Warning Signs | Request for horse warning signs in Daws Heath Road near the dog kennels. | Rayleigh South | Rayleigh Town | Validation | LROC202016 | TBC | In validation. | V |
| 8 | Shoebury Road, Great Wakering | Request to investigate traffic calming measures following completion of speed and volume survey. | Rochford South | Great Wakering | Validation | LROC212005 | TBC | In validation. | V |

REPORT 2

Traffic Management

| | |
|------------------------|----------------|
| Total Value of schemes | £25,500 |
|------------------------|----------------|

| Ref | Scheme name | Description | Division | Parish | Scheme stage | Cost Code | Estimated Cost | Comments | RAG |
|-----|---|---|----------------|---------------|--------------|------------|----------------|--|-----|
| 9 | London Road, Rayleigh - VAS | Replacement VAS sign near the Glebe Primary School. | Rayleigh South | Rayleigh Town | Validation | LROC202017 | TBC | Speed and volume survey returned average speeds of 29.9mph, demonstrating good compliance within the 30mph speed limit. In validation to remove the VAS. | V |
| 10 | Watery Lane & Beaches Road, Rawreth - Signage Improvements | Request to undertake a review of the signing and lining throughout both routes. | Various | Various | Design | LROC212001 | £4,000 | The validation has recommended to proceed with the detailed design of signage improvements, from the junction with Chelmsford Road to the junction with Hullbridge Road. | G |
| 11 | Sutton Road, Rochford - VAS Removal | Request to investigate the possibility of removing the VAS on the southern side of the carriageway. | Rochford South | Rochford | Total scheme | LROC212002 | £6,500 | Speed and volume survey returned average speeds of 27.0mph. The validation has recommended to proceed with the removal of the VAS, including consultation with the Parish Council. | G |
| 13 | Lesney Gardens, Ashingdon - Bollards | Request to investigate installing bollards to prevent vehicles driving through the grassed area. | Rochford North | Hawkwell | Validation | LROC212004 | TBC | In validation. | V |
| 14 | Crown Hill/Castle Terrace, Rayleigh - Visibility | Request to investigate potential measures to improve visibility at the junction. | Rayleigh South | Rayleigh Town | Validation | LROC212006 | TBC | This site is currently under investigation with our enforcement team. | V |
| 15 | Barrow Hall Road, Little Wakering - Traffic Management Improvements | Request to investigate the route following reports of near misses. | Rochford South | Barling Magna | Validation | LROC212007 | TBC | In validation. | V |
| 16 | Sutton Road, Rochford - RAB Improvements | Request to investigate potential junction improvements following reported near misses. | Rochford South | Rochford | Validation | LROC212008 | TBC | Currently investigating a potential CCTV survey. | V |

REPORT 2

Traffic Management

| | |
|------------------------|----------------|
| Total Value of schemes | £25,500 |
|------------------------|----------------|

| Ref | Scheme name | Description | Division | Parish | Scheme stage | Cost Code | Estimated Cost | Comments | RAG |
|-----|--|---|----------------|---------------|--------------|------------|----------------|---|-----|
| 17 | Great Wheatley Road, Rayleigh - Speeding | Request to investigate potential 20mph zone following reports of near misses. | Rayleigh South | Rayleigh Town | Validation | LROC212009 | TBC | Awaiting speed and volume survey information. | V |

Walking

| | |
|------------------------|--------|
| Total Value of schemes | £8,500 |
|------------------------|--------|

| Ref | Scheme name | Description | Division | Parish | Scheme stage | Cost Code | Estimated Cost | Comments | RAG |
|-----|--|--|----------------|---------------|--------------|------------|----------------|--|-----|
| 1 | Pedestrian Crossing - London Road, Rayleigh | Request to look into possibility of introducing a crossing facility to provide pedestrian access to the BP garage / M&S. | Rayleigh South | Rayleigh Town | Total Scheme | LROC183016 | TBC | Once the upgrade to the existing pedestrian refuge has been completed by the developer, the LHP can then consider upgrading it to a pedestrian crossing. HLO to undertake PV2 survey once the developer works have been completed. | V |
| 2 | Anchor Lane, Canewdon - Pedestrian Crossing | Request to implement a pedestrian crossing. | Rochford North | Canewdon | Validation | LROC213002 | N/A | CDPV2 score - 0.002 x 10 ⁸ , therefore not meeting the required score of 0.2 x 10 ⁸ for a zebra controlled crossing. | R |
| 3 | Brays Lane, Rochford - Zebra Crossing | Request to investigate a potential zebra crossing within the vicinity of Spencer Gardens. | Rochford South | Rochford | Validation | LROC203003 | TBC | Awaiting pedestrian volume survey information. | V |
| 4 | Castle Road, Rayleigh - Zebra Crossing upgrade | Request to investigate the possibility of upgrading the zebra crossing, including lighting improvements. | Rayleigh South | Rayleigh Town | Validation | LROC213001 | TBC | In validation. | V |
| 5 | Southend Road, Rochford - Crossing point | Request to investigate the possibility of installing a crossing point. | Rochford South | Rochford | Feasibility | LROC203004 | £8,500 | The validation has recommended that a feasibility study is undertaken to consider whether a signalised crossing would be possible at this location. | G |

REPORT 2

Walking

| | |
|------------------------|---------------|
| Total Value of schemes | £8,500 |
|------------------------|---------------|

| Ref | Scheme name | Description | Division | Parish | Scheme stage | Cost Code | Estimated Cost | Comments | RAG |
|-----|---|--|----------------|---------------|--------------|------------|----------------|---|-----|
| 6 | Hall Road, Hawkwell - Zebra Crossing | Request to investigate installing a zebra crossing. | Rochford South | Hawkwell | Validation | LROC212004 | TBC | In validation. | V |
| 7 | High Road, Rayleigh - Signalised Crossing | Request to investigate the possibility of upgrading the existing zebra crossing near the junction with Love Lane. | Rayleigh South | Rayleigh Town | Validation | LROC212008 | TBC | CDPV2 score 3.603 X 10x8. In validation to consider upgrading to a signalised crossing | V |

REPORT 2

Passenger Transport

| | |
|------------------------|----|
| Total Value of schemes | £0 |
|------------------------|----|

| Ref | Scheme name | Description | Division | Parish | Scheme stage | Cost Code | Estimated Cost | Comments | RAG |
|-----|---|---|----------------|----------------|--------------|------------|----------------|--|-----|
| 1 | Anchor Lane, Canewdon - Bus Shelter | Parish Council to fund installation of bus shelter. | Rochford North | Canewdon | Validation | LROC205001 | TBC | Awaiting completion of County-wide bus shelter audit before validation can be carried out. | V |
| 2 | Poynters Lane, Great Wakering - PT Improvements | Request for an area of hardstanding on the southern side of Poynters Lane | Rochford South | Great Wakering | Validation | LROC215001 | TBC | In Validation. Awaiting response from Passenger Transport team. | V |

REPORT 2

Public Rights of Way

| | |
|------------------------|----|
| Total Value of schemes | £0 |
|------------------------|----|

| Ref | Scheme name | Description | Division | Parish | Scheme stage | Cost Code | Estimated Cost | Comments | RAG |
|-----|--|---|----------|---------------|--------------|------------|----------------|--|-----|
| 1 | PROW 71, Rayleigh - Footway Improvements | Request to improve the condition of the footway to coincide with scheme LROC198002 (street lighting). | Various | Rayleigh Town | Validation | LROC208001 | TBC | Rochford District Council have been informed that this location is not part of the public highway. Awaiting update following Councillors site meeting. | V |