Principal Inspection Report



| Structure Name: | BOXTED |
|-------------------------------------|------------|
| Identifier: | 0059 |
| Financial Year: | 2023/24 |
| Planned Inspection Date: | 01/05/2023 |
| Inspection Date: | 27/06/2023 |
| Inspector: | |
| Submitted Date: | 14/07/2023 |
| | |
| Report Status: | Approved |
| Report Status: Submission Count: | Approved |
| | |
| | |
| Submission Count: | 1 |
| Submission Count: BCI Average: | 1 52.64 |

CONTENTS

Report Sections

Structure Summary

- CSS Inspection Proforma
 - Inspection Details
 - Inspection Condition
 - Inspection Signatures
 - Inspection Sign-off Submissions
 - Structure Details
 - Dimension Details
 - Constructions Details
 - General View Photographs
 - Element Conditions
 - Inspector's Comments
 - Checker's Comments
 - Engineer's Comments Works Required
 - Defect View Photographs
- Photo Location Plans
- Defect Location Plans
- General Arrangement Drawings
- Hazard Assessment Sheet
- Environment Awareness Sheet

Additional Inspection Documents

| Туре | Title |
|----------------------|---|
| Defect Location Plan | Drg 1 - Soffit.JPG |
| Defect Location Plan | Drg 2 - Carriageway Topside.JPG |
| Defect Location Plan | Drg 3 - Topside Internal Edge Girders.JPG |
| Defect Location Plan | Drg 4 - External Edge Girders.JPG |
| Defect Location Plan | Drg 5 - South West Wing Wall.JPG |
| Defect Location Plan | Drg 6 - North East Wing Wall and Pilaster.JPG |
| Defect Location Plan | Drg 7 - North West Wing Wall and Pilaster.JPG |
| Defect Location Plan | Drg 8 - South East Wing Wall and Pilaster.JPG |
| Defect Location Plan | Drg 9 - North Abutment.JPG |
| Defect Location Plan | Drg 10 - South Abutment.JPG |

27/06/2023 Principal Inspection Report Inspection Date: Inspection Type: Structure Name: BOXTED Identifier: 0059 **Structure Summary** BOXTED Name: 0059 Identifier: **Element Hierarchy Status:** Compliant WICK ROAD Structure Type: Bridge Carried: **BPRN Structure:** Crossed: STOUR No Authority: Essex County Council Restrictions: [None] Owner: ECC (Essex Highways) Assessment Status: 29/05/1992 (BD21: Detailed Assessment) **Maintaining Authority:** ECC (Essex Highways) Assessed Capacity: 3 t 0 Units **HB Rating:** 601244, 234419 Easting/Northing: Year of Construction: 1897 No. of Spans: SUI - 29/08/2023 1 Latest Inspection: 52.64 Primary Deck Form: 06 - Beam/Girder (half BCI Average (Latest Condition): through) **Primary Deck Material:** Fabricated Steel, Rolled **BCI Critical (Latest Condition):** 22.12 Steel, Steel, or Steel Plate Structure Condition Good Fair Very Good Poor Very Poo Index Key: >=90 & <=100 >=80 & <90 >=65 & <80 >=40 & < 65 >=0 & <40 Last Data Change: Element Details **Description:** Boxted Bridge is located on the unclassified Wick Road, Boxted and crosses the River Stour at Ordnance Survey grid reference TM 012 344. The bridge is on the Essex and Suffolk border and within the Dedham Vale Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. The bridge was constructed in 1897 and is a simply supported single span half-through steel deck on brick abutments but the foundation type is unknown. The form of the structure is believed to have been dictated by River Stour Navigation clearance requirements. The deck comprises riveted plate girder primary edge and transverse secondary beams, with tertiary longitudinal rolled I-beam/channel sections and hogging plates. The deck has an effective square span of 12.50m. There are no safety margins to separate the traffic and the half-through edge beams which are at risk of (and subject to) of vehicle impact. There is a significant hump in the vertical carriageway profile over the bridge which inhibits inter-visibility for oncoming traffic. The bridge runs slightly off north south, however for the purpose of this inspection the abutments are considered North and South and the edge girders are considered $\ensuremath{\mathsf{East}}$ and $\ensuremath{\mathsf{West}}.$ Comments: Structures Hierarchy: STR 3 Map: Boxted Bridge Farm Rd Sta Hall Hill Google Map data @2023

Report Status:ApprovedSubmission Count:1

Summary Photographs:



East Elevation



West Elevation

Report Status:ApprovedSubmission Count:1

BridgeStation

Submitted Date: Print Date:



North West Corner Deck Soffit (looking SE)



Topside North (Looking South)

Approved **Report Status:** Submission Count: 1

Submitted Date: **Print Date:**



Topside South (looking North)

Inspection Date: Identifier: 27/06/2023 0059

CSS Inspection Proforma

| Inspection Details | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|---|------------------|
| Inspection Type: | Principal | Financial Year: | 2023/24 |
| Inspector: | | Inspection Date: | 27/06/2023 |
| Risk Assessment Reviewed and Up | dated: | | |
| All Above Ground Elements Inspec | ted: Yes | Photographs: | Yes |
| Inspection Methodology: | Inspection carried out over 3 days (pontoon a Girders and river bed 4/7/23) On site were (Senior Engineer/Line Manager) additional presence on 27/06/23 of (Graduate Engineer). Weather condition inspection and the inspection was carried out close visual touching distance inspection was including life jackets, tablet, camera, ranging measure, digital spirit level. | (Inspector) (Senior Engineer) with (Graduate Engineer) and ons were dry and mild for all day under a road closure at all times carried out with full appropriate | s of A PPE |

Special Instructions:

| Inspection Condition | | | |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|-----------|
| Condition | Index | Score | Rating |
| Average (27/06/2023) | 52.64 | 3.21 | Poor |
| Critical (27/06/2023) | 22.12 | 4.30 | Very Poor |

Note: Index, Score and Rating are given up to the date of inspection. Previous conditions are used where required to produce a set of conditions based on as many elements as possible. Average and Critical Conditions labelled 'Projected' need to be confirmed by signing off the inspection before they are shown elsewhere.

| Inspection Signatures | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Inspected By: | |
| Inspector's Comments By: | |
| Checker's Comments By: | |
| Engineer's Comments By: | |

| Inspection Sign- | off History | | | | |
|------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------|------------|----------|
| Change Date | User Name | Action | Old Status | New Status | Comments |
| 14/07/2023 | | Inspection submitted | Draft | Submitted | |

| Structure Details | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------|
| Bridge Name: | BOXTED | Identifier: | 0059 |
| Authority: | Essex County Council | Structure Type: | Bridge |
| Owner: | ECC (Essex Highways) | Easting: | 601244 |
| Maintaining Authority: | ECC (Essex Highways) | Northing: | 234419 |
| Overall Structure Length (m): | 13.70 | Average Width (m): | 5.80 |

Dimension Details:

| Span Name | Qty | Span Length (m) | Max Width (m) | Min Width (m) | Internal Headroom (m) | Measured Headroom (A) (m) | Signed Headroom (A) (m) | Measured Headroom (B) (m) | Signed Headroom (B) (m) |
|-----------------|-----|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| BOXTED - Span 1 | 1 | 13.10 | 5.80 | | | 2.33 | | | |

Construction Details:

| Span/Wall/Gantry | Construction | Form/Material |
|------------------|--|--|
| BOXTED - Span 1 | Primary Deck (01/Primary Deck Element) | 06 - Beam/Girder (half through) / Fabricated Steel, Rolled Steel, Steel, or Steel Plate |
| BOXTED - Span 1 | Secondary Deck (02/Sec.Deck Elem/s Transv Beam) | |
| BOXTED - Span 1 | Secondary Deck (03/Sec.Deck Element/s Other) | 36 - Transverse Beams - Other / Fabricated Steel, Rolled Steel, Steel, or Steel Plate |



West Elevation West Elevation



East Elevation East Elevation

Report Status:ApprovedSubmission Count:1

BridgeStation

Submitted Date: Print Date:

 Inspection Type:
 Principal Inspection Report

 Structure Name:
 BOXTED



South Topside (looking north) South Topside (looking north)

Report Status:ApprovedSubmission Count:1



| Elamaank | Conditions |
|----------|------------|
| ыетеп | Conditions |
| | |

| BOXT | ED - Span 1 | | | | | | |
|------|-------------------------|-----|-----|--------|-------|----------|---------|
| | · | | | | | | |
| Deck | Elements | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k |
| | | | | | | - | |
| 1 | 01/Primary Deck Element | | | | | | |

Comment

The main edge girders appear to be in poor condition. The bottom flanges were severely corroded in locations especially in the vicinity of the channel sections with section loss to the bottom flange topside due to rusting. The web has sporadic corrosion which has caused loss of web section in places upto to 50%. The top flanges had severe corrosion in the vicinity of the pilasters resulting in section loss and perforations. Rotation was noted to both edge girder ends and mid span. This may be the result of lateral torsional buckling and investigation and assessment should be considered.

Remedial Works

[none]

| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|----------|---------|
| 1 | 01/Primary Deck Element | 4 | С | 1.2 | $\mathbf{\nabla}$ | Н | 250 |
| Comn | nent | | | | | | |
| edge <u>g</u> flange Corros | edge girders presented severe corrosion with section loss with worst are girder at the south end where there was a perforation of 100mm x 35m e of the west girder at south end due to corrosion through entire section sion leading to section loss was recorded to the top flange of the west g jirder at the north end. | m. A perforation was , 40mm x 25mm. | also ne | oted to the to | p | | |
| section due to | was section loss to the bottom flange of the western edge girder at 1m n loss to the bottom flange of the eastern edge girder at 0.1m and 9m f b heavy corrosion. Sporadic section loss to the topside of both east and ded to a maximum depth of 10mm into the surface, worst areas affected outh. | rom the south where west bottom flange, v | there vhere t | was a perfora the thickness | was | | |
| along - 9m i stiffen | test face of the topside east edge girder web and stiffeners had major de the lower areas adjacent the carriageway full span, mainly at the T-stift in from the south. At 5m in from the south pilaster a perforation was no her measuring 60mm x 60mm and the girder web lower area was corroc ection. | eners with the worst ted completely throug | area b gh the | eing between bottom of the | 4m e T | | |
| leadin | rate sporadic corrosion to east and west edge girder webs and T stiffene g to loss in section of up to 50% and deflection of riveted plates. | rs both carriageway s | side an | d river side | | | |
| beams | e corrosion and repaint with a suitable protective paint system to match s of bridge. Repair areas of section loss to the main beams of bridge dev vould need major excavation, encapsulation and propping for works to b | k and replace any se | | | | | |

Sev

4

Ext

С

Defect

1.1

Works

 \checkmark

Priority

н

No Element Name

| 1 | 01/Primary Deck Element |
|---|-------------------------|

Comment

Severe corrosion to both the east and west edge girder top flanges and splice plates at midspan has caused the splice plates to deflect a maximum of 35mm to the east and a maximum of 27mm to the west.

Severe corrosion to the east and west edge girders top and bottoms flanges adjacent to the pilasters.

Severe corrosion and pitting to the east and west edge girders bottom flange underside adjacent to the channel section, jack arches and transverse beams.

Severe corrosion and pitting to the east and west edge girder inner webs midspan above the bottom flanges where jack arches expose bottom web sections.

Moderate sporadic corrosion to east and west edge girder webs and T stiffeners and T stiffener riveted plates to both faces. Worst being the east face of the east edge girder web and riveted plates between 3m-8m in from the south.

Moderate and minor corrosion was noted to the web, T stiffeners edges, edge girder top and bottom flange external edges.

Severe corrosion and pitting to SE, NE, SW and NW bearing plates.

Remedial Works

Remedial works and costing of works included in remedial works to defect 1.2 above.

Cost £k

| - | tion Type: Ire Name: | Principal Inspection Report BOXTED | | | | Inspection I Ident | | 27/06/202 005 |
|--|---|---|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------|------------------|
| No | Element N | ame | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k |
| 1 | 01/Primary | Deck Element | 4 | В | 1.3 | \square | Н | |
| Comn | nent | | | | | | | |
| the fol - East - East - West - West Reme | llowing location external edge internal edge t external edge t internal edge edial Works | ening plates, edge girder top flange and web had corro is (chainage in from the west); girder 2no. 3m in from the south. girder top flange at 3m, 6m and 7m in from the south. girder 2no. at 4m and 5m in from the south. girder top flange at 0.5m, 1.5m, 4m, 6.5m, 7m, 7.5m, costing of works included in remedial works to defect 1 | , 8m and 11m in from t | | | at | | |
| N | El N | | Carr | F. 4 | Defect | Maria dan | Duiauita | Co at Ch |
| No 1 | Element Na | | Sev | Ext C | Defect 13.1 | Works | Priority | Cost £k |
| 1 Comn | | Deck Element | 5 | C | 15.1 | | L | |
| pilaste | er. The deforma edial Works | ge appeared to have caused deformation of the easter ition was measured to be 15mm. | n edge girder's end pla | te, at th | e southern | | | |
| No | Element N | ame | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k |
| 1 | | Deck Element | 3 | C | | | M | |
| Comn | | | | | | | | |
| 30mm torsior | n measured at t nal buckling. edial Works | had rotated inwards by 65mm measured mid span. The north end. All measurements taken from the web. | | | | • | | |
| No | Element N | ame | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k |
| 1 | | Deck Element | 2 | В | | | L | |
| Comn | | | | | | | | |
| Diauraa | | n east edge girder inner bottom flange between the 2nd | d and 2nd branching to be | <i>.</i> | | | | |

Disused birds nest on east edge girder inner bottom flange between the 2nd and 3rd transverse beam from the south.

Remedial Works

[none]

| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k | | | |
|-----------------------|---|-----|-----|--------|----------------------------------|----------|---------|--|--|--|
| 1 | 01/Primary Deck Element | 4 | С | 17.13 | $\mathbf{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}$ | Н | 1 | | | |
| Comm | Comment | | | | | | | | | |
| south. Reme | Sealant along the carriageway edge and the east edge girder cracked and breaking up between 4m to 9m in from the south. Remedial Works Sealant to be replaced. | | | | | | | | | |
| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k | | | |
| 2 | 02/Sec.Deck Elem/s Transv Beam | | | | | | | | | |

Comment

The transverse beams bottom flanges are severely corroded causing section loss in both width and in flange thickness. Expansive corrosion was causing the riveted transverse beam plates to deflect significantly at outer edges placing the rivets under additional loading and rivet heads were noted to have moderate corrosion.

Remedial Works

[none]

| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k |
|------|--------------------------------|-----|-----|--------|--------------|----------|---------|
| 2 | 02/Sec.Deck Elem/s Transv Beam | 4 | D | 1.2 | \checkmark | н | |
| Comm | ent | | | | | | |

Transverse beams bottom flanges severely corroded resulting in perforations and section loss to both width and thickness at beam ends with the worst areas being the east side at north and south ends and west side at north end. The worst beam was at the east side at the south end where beam width is normally 310mm with section loss the width was 220mm (90mm loss in width) the beam thickness was feathered from corrosion and section loss,

Remedial Works

Abrade to remove corrosion and repaint affected areas with a suitable protective paint system to match existing. Repair areas of section loss. Remedial works and costing of works included in remedial works to defect 1.2 above.

| Report Status: | Approved | Submitted Date: | 14/07/2023 |
|-------------------|----------|-----------------|------------|
| Submission Count: | 1 | Print Date: | 31/08/2023 |

| Inspect | ion Type: | Principal Inspection Report | | Inspection Date: | | | 27/06/2023 | |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-----|------------------|-------------|-------|------------|---------|
| Structure Name: | | BOXTED | | | Identifier: | | | 0059 |
| No | Element Nan | 16 | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k |
| 2 | 02/Sec.Deck E | Elem/s Transv Beam | 4 | D | 1.1 | Ŋ | Н | |

Transverse beam bottom flange riveted plates had severe deflection to external edges throughout deck soffit due to corrosion measuring between 20mm to a maximum of 80mm from transverse beam bottom flange (normal thickness of riveted plate at centre is 17mm). Worst plates affected were on the east side 3rd and 6th beam from the south.

Remedial Works

Replace heavily defected riveted bottom flange plates to transverse beams, costing included in works to element 2.1

| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-----|-----|--------|--------------|----------|---------|--|--|
| 2 | 02/Sec.Deck Elem/s Transv Beam | 4 | В | 1.3 | \checkmark | Н | 0.3 | | |
| Comment | | | | | | | | | |
| Missing rivet due to corrosion which was causing deflection on the riveted transverse beam plate east side 1st beam in from the south. Remedial Works | | | | | | | | | |
| Replac | e missing rivet. | | | | | | | | |
| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k | | |

03/Sec.Deck Element/s Other Comment Minor movement had occurred to several jack arches causing misalignment and separation this had caused the protective

paint to the hogging plates to split and fail leading to corrosion at hogging plate edges, some corrosion had caused the concrete arch infill to become exposed and start to spall.

The channel sections were in poor condition with major section loss of the bottom flange.

Remedial Works

[none]

3

| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k |
|------|-----------------------------|-----|-----|--------|-------|----------|---------|
| 3 | 03/Sec.Deck Element/s Other | 2 | D | | | М | |
| Comm | ent | | | | | | |

Jack arch hogging plates misaligned and separated at jack arch section joints throughout the deck soffit. The worst misalignment was to the west jack arch at north end which had a change in level of 30mm. The worst jack arch separation gap was noted at 5mm which was located to the east side between the 4th and 5th transverse beam from the south end. **Remedial Works**

[none]

| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k | |
|----|-----------------------------|-----|-----|--------|-----------|----------|---------|--|
| 3 | 03/Sec.Deck Element/s Other | 3 | В | 1.2 | \square | М | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Comment

Section loss to hogging plate due to historic corrosion on the east jack arch at north and south end adjacent channelling exposing concrete infill.

Section loss to channel section bottom flanges due to historic corrosion full width at east and west sides with the worst affected areas being the north and south ends of the east channel section. Channel bottom flange width normally measures approximately 80mm and was 45mm on east side at south end and 50mm at east side at north end. The west channel sections were corroded down to 60mm at narrowest width at north and south ends.

Remedial Works

Abrade corrosion and repaint. Repair areas of section loss. Remedial works and costing of works included in remedial works to defect 1.2 above.

| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k | | |
|--------|---|-----|-----|--------|--------------|----------|---------|--|--|
| 3 | 03/Sec.Deck Element/s Other | 3 | С | 1.1 | \checkmark | L | | | |
| Comm | Comment | | | | | | | | |
| Widesr | Widespread corrosion to the jack arch honoing plates at the following locations, the jack arch joints, at edge girder, at | | | | | | | | |

channel sections and at transverse beam joints throughout the deck with the worst of the corrosion being to the east side.

Minor corrosion to external edges of longitudinal beams at north and south ends.

Remedial Works

Remedial works and costing included in element 3.2 above.

BridgeStation

| Inspect | ion Type: | Principal Inspection Report | | Inspection Date: | | | | 27/06/2023 |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-----|------------------|--------|-------|----------|------------|
| Structure Name: | | BOXTED | | | | Ident | ifier: | 0059 |
| No | Element Nan | ne | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k |
| 3 | 03/Sec.Deck | Element/s Other | 3 | В | 2.1 | Ŋ | М | |

Spalling to concrete infill in jack arch edges on the east side adjacent channel sections at north and south ends, jack arch infill spalling depth up to 45mm maximum.

Remedial Works

Repair spalling to concrete jack arch infill and edge of hogging plate section. Costing of works included in remedial works to defect 1.2 above.

| Load-l | Load-bearing Substructure | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---------------------------|-----|-----|--------|-------|----------|---------|--|--|--|
| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k | | | |
| 8 | 08/Foundations | 2 | С | 6.1 | Ø | L | 2 | | | |

Comment

Possible evidence of historic foundation settlement due to full height cracks to both abutments at east end and north east wing wall which has displaced / separated by 250mm and moved 90mm eastwards. No evidence of new movement noted. **Remedial Works**

Settlement is historic with no evidence of new movement. Recommend stitching of the cracks and re-pointing.

| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|-----|--------|--------------|----------|---------|
| 9 | 09/Abutments(inc.arch spring) | 3 | С | 3.5 | \mathbf{V} | М | 10 |

Comment

2no. vertical cracks parallel to each other to south abutment at east end (6m in from the west). Upper crack extending from jack arch infill down through bearing stones and masonry abutment 0.7m in length, open 3mm (upper), 20mm (centre) and 1.5mm (lower), the lower crack extends 1.55m through masonry abutment down to concrete edging / training, open 1mm (upper), 12mm (centre) and hairline (lower).

Vertical crack to north abutment at east end (6m in from the west) extending 1.8m full height from the jack arch through the bearing stones and masonry abutment down to the concrete edging / training, open 1mm (upper), 10mm (above midpoint) and 1mm (lower). An area of brick spalling was noted above the midpoint of the crack or 0.1m x 0.1m x 10mm in depth.

Shrinkage hairline cracks to all jack arch infills above the bearing stones on south abutment full width were noted, insignificant defect to non structural element.

Spalling to concrete infill between jack arches on south abutment at the following locations (chainage in from the west);

- 1m - Concrete infill spall 0.3m x 0.1m x 35mm deep.

- 1.8m - Concrete infill spall 0.2m x 0.070m x 35mm deep.

- 6m - Concrete infill spall 0.3m x 0.2m x 35mm deep.

Remedial Works

Repair and seal cracks to abutment over 1mm wide and repair any areas of concrete spalling.

| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k | | |
|-------|---|-----|-----|--------|-------|----------|---------|--|--|
| 9 | 09/Abutments(inc.arch spring) | 2 | В | 3.6 | | L | | | |
| Comm | Comment | | | | | | | | |
| Minor | Minor weathering to brick faces on south abutment between 3m - 5m in from the west just above midpoint, area affected | | | | | | | | |

2m x 0.5m x 20mm weathering depth into brick.

Remedial Works

[none]

| Durabi | Durability Elements | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---------------------------|-----|-----|--------|-----------|----------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k | | | | | |
| 19 | 19/Finishes:deck elements | 3 | Е | 4.1 | \square | Н | 5 | | | | | |

Comment

Failure to paintwork on all deck elements with the worst affected areas being at the hogging plate joints, transverse beam

bottom flange edges and along girder top and bottom edges.

Remedial Works

Remove defective paintwork and re-apply a new protective paint system to match existing.

| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|-----|-----|--------|-----------|----------|---------|--|--|--|
| 21 | 21/Finishes:parapet/saf.fences | 5 | D | 4.1 | \square | М | 1 | | | |
| C | Comment | | | | | | | | | |

Comment

Protective paint top coat chipped and flaked on railings and concrete posts on south east approach rail full length.

Remedial Works

Remove defective paintwork and re-apply a new protective paint system to match existing to south east approach railings.

| Inspect | ion Type: | Principal Inspection Report | | | | | Inspection I | Date: | 27/06/2 | 023 |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--|-------------|-----|--------|--------------|----------|---------|-----|
| Structure Name: | | BOXTED | | Identifier: | | | | ifier: | 0059 | |
| Safety | / Elements | | | | | | | | | |
| No | Element Nam | ie | | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k | |
| 23 | 23/Handrail/pa | arapet/saf.fences | | 3 | С | 3.5 | \square | М | 2 | |

North east pilaster has a diagonal stepped crack to east face lower section extending 1.1m from the abutment bearing stone to the wing wall and embankment, open 3.5mm (upper) to hairline (lower) minor brick spalling was noted at crack midpoint to a depth of 25mm. Vertical crack to lower pilaster east face on the north side extending 0.5m, open 1mm. Roots were identified growing within the gap of the crack.

South west pilaster has brick spalling to the upper north east and south east corners and moderate spalling to brick work to the lower south east corner measuring $0.5m \times 0.2m \times 40mm$ maximum depth.

Bees nest in small void in south east pilaster brick work just above abutment in north east corner.

Remedial Works

Seal and repair cracks to brickwork in north east pilaster and south west pilaster.

| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k | | |
|------|--------------------------------|-----|-----|--------|--------------|----------|---------|--|--|
| 23 | 23/Handrail/parapet/saf.fences | 2 | С | 3.2 | \checkmark | L | 1 | | |
| Comm | Comment | | | | | | | | |

Comment

South west pilaster has minor pointing loss between brick work to the lower east face.

North west pilaster has minor pointing loss lower section (just above abutment corner) of west face up to a depth of 10mm. Pointing missing between brick pilaster and concrete abutment bearing stone 0.3m in length creating a gap of 10mm.

North east pilaster has minor pointing loss to upper and lower east face to a depth of 10mm.

Remedial Works

Replace missing mortar to south west pilaster, north west pilaster and north east pilaster.

| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k |
|----|--------------------------------|-----|-----|--------|-------|----------|---------|
| 23 | 23/Handrail/parapet/saf.fences | 3 | С | 3.6 | V | М | 2 |

Comment

North west pilaster has approximately 1no brick missing along with spalling to the surrounding concrete haunching, area of 230mm x 120mm. 1no brick broken up to lower section of the south west corner adjacent to the abutment. Minor brick face weathering of up to 20mm in depth was noted to east face corner edge of the north west pilaster extending over its full height.

North east pilaster had moderate brick loss to the lower south east corner above abutment bearing stone, there was evidence of missing bricks as there was 1no. loose brick laying on the bearing plate, area affected is 1m x 0.5m x 100mm maximum depth.

Remedial Works

Repair and replace missing brick work.

| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k | | | |
|-------------------|--|-----|-----|--------|-----------|----------|---------|--|--|--|
| 23 | 23/Handrail/parapet/saf.fences | 3 | С | 5.1 | \square | Н | 1 | | | |
| Comm | nent | | | | | | | | | |
| Ivy stu | Ivy stump growing adjacent south face of north west pilaster above abutment. | | | | | | | | | |
| Ivy gro | Ivy growing around the south west pilaster west face. | | | | | | | | | |
| - | rowing adjacent south east pilaster at south east corner. | | | | | | | | | |
| Reme | dial Works | | | | | | | | | |
| Remove vegetation | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k | | | |
| 23 | 23/Handrail/parapet/saf.fences | 2 | В | | | L | | | | |

Comment

Bees nest in south east pilaster just above abutment in north east corner.

Remedial Works

[none]

| | tion Type: re Name: | Principal Inspection Report BOXTED | | | | Inspection Ident | bate: tifier: | 27/06/20 |
|---------------|---------------------------------|--|---|---------|----------------|---------------------|------------------|----------|
| No | Element Na | ame | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k |
| 24 | 24/Carriage | way surfacing | 2 | С | 9.4 | \checkmark | L | 2.5 |
| Comm | nent | | | | | | | |
| 0mm ide m | in depth, cent neasures 0.8m | re concrete filled bore hole measures x 0.1m x 20mm in depth. | south end. Pothole to the west side meas 0.250m x 0.250m x 15mm in depth and t | | | | | |
| | dial Works | ntre lane markings faded full span. | | | | | | |
| Repair | potholes and r | epaint centre lane markings | | | | | | |
| No | Element Na | ime | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k |
| 24 | 24/Carriage | way surfacing | 2 | С | 9.2 | $\mathbf{\nabla}$ | L | 10 |
| Comm | nent | | | | | | | |
| yre m Reme | - | s, both tracking lanes measured 10m | anes either side of centre line with evide x 0.6m wide. | ence of | farm vehicle | 2 | | |
| 10 | Element Na | ime | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k |
| 25 | | rge/fbrdge surfacing | 4 | С | 9.2 | ⊡ | Н | 2 |
| Comm | | ge/ibidge surfacing | | 0 | 5.2 | | | - |
| he so Reme | uth. dial Works | en east carriageway kerbing and east t between east carriageway kerbing a | edge girder cracked and broken up betwe nd east edge girder. | een 5m | ı to 7m in fro | om | | |
| No | Element Na | ame | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k |
| 25 | | rge/fbrdge surfacing | 3 | D | 5.1 | V | н | 0.3 |
| Comm | - | 5-,55 | | | | | | |
| | | rowing along between east and west | dge kerbing and edge girders full length. | | | | | |
| Reme | dial Works | | | | | | | |
| Remov | ve vegetation. | | | | | | | |
| | 5 | | | | | | | |
| Other | Bridge Eleme | ents | | | | | | |
| No | Element Na | ime | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k |
| 26 | 26/Invert/riv | ver bed | 2 | С | 7.2 | \mathbf{N} | Н | 1 |
| Comm | nent | | | | | | | |
| abutm | | merged, a submerged broken timber | nately between 200mm-400mm in height wost was noted to the north river bed 4m | - | | nd | | |

4m in from the west.

Remedial Works

Remove submerged posts and rope.

| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k |
|----|---------------------|-----|-----|--------|-------|----------|---------|
| 26 | 26/Invert/river bed | 2 | С | 7.1 | | L | |

Comment

Minor scour to centre of river bed below west edge girder. Scour not affecting structure at time of inspection. (Refer to

- attached scour form)
- **Remedial Works**

[none]

| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k |
|--------|--|-----|-----|--------|-------|----------|---------|
| 29 | River training works | 1 | А | 0 | | | |
| Comm | ient | | | | | | |
| No def | ects noted to concrete river training at time of inspection. | | | | | | |
| Reme | dial Works | | | | | | |
| [none] | | | | | | | |

BridgeStation

Page 15 of 100

| Inspec | tion Type: | Principal Inspection Report | | | | Inspection | Date: | 27/06/2023 |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-----|-----|-------------|------------|----------|------------|
| Structure Name: | | BOXTED | | | Identifier: | | | 0059 |
| No | Element Nan | ne | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k |
| 31 | 31/Wing walls | ; | | | | | | |

The wing walls were in poor condition. The northeast wall had completely separated and settled, with various large cracks and leaning across length. The south east wall had bulging sections through most of its length with multiple cracks and gaps being forced open by tree roots and vegetation. Trees and ivy were damaging all of the wing walls to varying

degrees. Remedial Works

[none]

| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k |
|--------|--|------------|----------|-------------|-------|----------|---------|
| 31 | 31/Wing walls | 5 | Е | 3.1 | | Н | 15 |
| Comn | nent | | | | | | |
| North | east wing wall cracked, separated and displaced at south end between drainage ou | tlet and p | ilaster. | The stepped | | | |
| vortic | al crack extended 1.3m and was open 250mm in gap width, the wing wall porth of t | ho crack | had dicr | lacad 00mm | | | |

North east wing wall cracked, separated and displaced at south end between drainage outlet and pilaster. The stepped vertical crack extended 1.3m and was open 250mm in gap width, the wing wall north of the crack had displaced 90mm eastwards.

Concrete plinth at the base of the north east wing wall had displaced 60mm eastwards at south end.

The top two courses of bricks on the south west wing wall have separated due to tree roots and vegetation extending full length and open a maximum of 30mm at west end, a maximum of 25mm at midpoint and a maximum of 60mm at east end. The top two brick courses at west end of the wing wall had displaced 30mm northwards and the 5th - 7th brick courses down from the top have displaced 20mm northwards.

The top two courses of bricks on the south east wing wall have separated due to tree roots from 3m from the west, the top course extended 4m, open a maximum of 35mm and the second course down extending 7m, open 50mm (west end) and 40mm (east end).

Remedial Works

Replace / repair damaged section of wing walls

| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k |
|----|---------------|-----|-----|--------|-----------|----------|---------|
| 31 | 31/Wing walls | 3 | D | 3.7 | \square | Н | 15 |

Comment

North east wing wall north end leaning approximately 100mm eastwards due to tree growing on top of wing wall.

Moderate bulging to south west wing wall at midpoint from 6m - 17m in from the west end, the area affected was approximately 11m in length and 1m in height.

Remedial Works

Replace / repair damaged wing walls

| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k |
|------|---------------|-----|-----|--------|----------------------------------|----------|---------|
| 31 | 31/Wing walls | 3 | В | 3.6 | $\mathbf{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}$ | L | 5 |
| Comm | ent | | | | | | |

Small area of bricks breaking up to upper section of north east wing wall 2m in from the south to a maximum depth of 30mm.

Small areas of bricks breaking up at the bottom of south west wing wall at 4m, 10m, 13m, 14m and 17m in from the west with the worst area being at 4m in where a depth of 40mm into the brick was recorded. Area of broken bricks to upper section at 14m in from the west of 1 m x 0.7 m x 15 mm maximum depth into the brick work.

Brick loss to north west wing wall section (adjacent concrete plinth) at south end with bricks breaking up to a depth of 120mm and loose with 1no. brick laying on the embankment.

Remedial Works

Repair / replace areas of brick damage

| Inspect | ion Type: | Principal Inspection Report | | | : | Inspection I | Date: | 27/06/202 | 23 |
|----------|---------------|-----------------------------|-----|-----|--------|--------------|----------|-----------|----|
| Structur | re Name: | BOXTED | | | | Ident | ifier: | 005 | 59 |
| No | Element Nam | le | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k | |
| 31 | 31/Wing walls | | 3 | С | 3.5 | \square | М | 5 | |

North east wing wall had a vertical stepped crack 1m in from the south end extending 0.4m from top of the wall to the top of the redundant drainage outlet, open 15mm.

Multiple horizontal cracks to brickwork between brick courses on south west wing wall with crack to the lower section at west end, cracks to the centre area at wall midpoint and cracks to the upper section at east end, the worst of these was at 8m in from the west 9 brick course up from the base extending 3m, open 10mm, crack have ivy growing through the gaps.

Multiple vertical stepped cracks to south west wing wall between 13m - 17m in from the west with the worst being at 14m in from the west extending from the 2nd brick course down 0.6m and open a maximum of 10mm.

Diagonal crack through brick work to south west wing wall at 13m in from the west extending 0.7m, open 1mm (upper), 2mm-6mm (centre) and 3.5mm-2mm (lower). Diagonal crack at 16m in from the west upper section extending from pattress plate 0.7m through brick work, open 1mm with minor brick loss at crack midpoint to a depth of 20mm.

Horizontal crack to south east wing wall at 3m in from the west to lower section extending 2m, open 30mm with ivy growing through the gap.

Vertical cracks extending from top of south east wing wall at 0.5m, 1m and 3m in from the west, the worst being at 0.5m in from the west extending 0.4m, open 2mm (upper), 5mm (centre) and 3mm (lower).

Vertical and horizontal stepped cracking lower north west wing wall section (adjacent to concrete plinth) west face extending 0.8m, open 4mm.

Remedial Works

Repair cracks to brickwork in all four wing walls.

| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k | |
|----|---------------|-----|-----|--------|--------------|----------|---------|--|
| 31 | 31/Wing walls | 2 | С | 3.2 | \checkmark | L | 1 | |
| C | t | | | | | | | |

Comment

Pointing loss to a depth of 20mm on the north east wing wall to upper section and midpoint from 2m in from the south end to the north end.

Sporadic pointing loss to south west wing wall between horizontal cracks where vegetation was growing between brick courses full length, worst area being at centre 12m-14m in from the west.

Remedial Works

Replace missing pointing mortar to north east wing wall and to south west wing wall.

| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k |
|----|---------------|-----|-----|--------|-------------------|----------|---------|
| 31 | 31/Wing walls | 4 | С | 5.1 | $\mathbf{\nabla}$ | Н | 10 |

Comment

Tree growing on top of the north east wing wall at north end causing leaning.

Tree roots and ivy roots growing on structure at 0m, 1m, 1.5m, 3m, 7m and 9m in from the west. ivy roots growing through horizontal cracks full length of wing wall. Tree roots had pushed approximately 3no. bricks off of the top brick course at east end. Tree growing on top of the south west wing wall at 15m in from the west and roots were vertically separating the top course of bricks by 15mm. Large tree growing up against west end of wing wall.

Trees growing on top of the south east wing wall damaging brick work at 0.5m, 1m, 2m and 3m in from the west. Tree growing adjacent wing wall at 4m in from the west and tree stumps growing from base of wing wall at 1.5m and 3.5m in from the west with ivy growing out of brickwork at midpoint at the west end.

Trees and saplings growing on top of north west wing wall with 2no large trees at 1.5m in from the south and at north end, with roots growing under the base and adjacent the north end of wingwall. Vegetation growing out of concrete plinth along the top and along the base.

Remedial Works

Possible investigation in to tree removal to prevent further structural damage.

| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k | | |
|------|---------------|-----|-----|--------|-------|----------|---------|--|--|
| 31 | 31/Wing walls | 2 | D | 20.1 | | L | | | |
| Comm | ent | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Moss to the top of north east wing wall full length and to concrete plinth at the south end base of the wing wall.

Remedial Works

[none]

BridgeStation

Page 17 of 100

| Inspect | ion Type: | Principal Inspection Report | | | 1 | Inspection I | Date: | 27/06/2023 | |
|---------|---------------|-----------------------------|-----|-----|--------|--------------|----------|------------|--|
| Structu | re Name: | BOXTED | | | | Ident | ifier: | 0059 | |
| No | Element Nam | le | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k | |
| 31 | 31/Wing walls | | 3 | Е | 1.1 | \square | М | 4 | |

All tie rod pattress plates on south west wing wall had surface corrosion at 10m, 13m, 15m and 17m in from the west end.

Remedial Works

Replace pattress plates and tie rod nuts.

| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k |
|-------|--|----------|-----------|---------------|-----------|----------|---------|
| 33 | 33/Embankments | 3 | D | 5.1 | \square | Н | 8 |
| Comm | ient | | | | | | |
| NE em | bankment had a tree trunk growing approximately 0.8m from abutment but the root | s are ac | ljacent t | the structure | | | |
| SE em | SE embankment had trees growing adjacent wing wall at 2m and 4m in from the west. | | | | | | |
| | nbankment had a large tree growing adjacent the west end of wing wall and roots an n, 7m and 9m in from the west. | e growir | ıg on wi | ng wall at 1r | n, | | |

Remedial Works

Removal of trees to prevent further structural damage.

| Ancilla | ary Elements | | | | | | |
|---------|-------------------------------|-----|-----|--------|-------|----------|---------|
| No | Element Name | Sev | Ext | Defect | Works | Priority | Cost £k |
| 35 | Approach rails/barriers/walls | 4 | В | 13.1 | M | Н | 1.5 |

Comment

South east approach rail concrete post at east end broken in half at lower railing joint with a gap of 100mm being held together by the 4 strengthening rods in the post which had rusted, the post was relatively solid with little movement. Railing end 'D' capping missing.

Remedial Works

Replace broken concrete post and D cap.

Inspector's Comments:

Please tick here if you believe that span length, span width and/or form of construction needs reviewing by the bridge manager. Please include appropriate comments in inspection comment section below

Boxted bridge is in poor overall condition with severe corrosion, section loss and delamination of the top and bottom flanges of the edge girders especially in close proximity to the south pilasters. There was severe corrosion, section loss and deflection of the transverse beams and channel sections, also movement, corrosion and spalling to hogging plates and jack arches. The extent of the corrosion to the bottom flanges of the beams beneath the bridge that has caused significant section loss and deflection of the transverse beams and channel sections, also movement, corrosion and spalling to hogging plates and jack arches. The extent of the corrosion to the bottom flanges of the beams beneath the bridge that has caused significant section loss and deflection of the bottom plates will impact any options that may be proposed for the strengthening of the existing structure, replacement may be a better option. There were significant cracks to the abutments at the east end which should be repaired. The north east and south west wingwalls have failed structurally and the evidence of the tree root damage will have to be addressed upon repair / replacement. Rotation was found to the edge girder bottom flanges and edge girder webs, this may be due to a number of factors or a result of all factors, being, historic vehicle strikes, evidence of foundation settlement and severe corrosion to all deck elements. The defects identified in this inspection are a result of many years of deterioration in the structure with the deterioration getting worse with time which is greatly compromising the strength of the structure. Investigation into replacement or strengthening should be expected.

| Inspector's | Name: |
|-------------|-------|
|-------------|-------|

Checker's Comments:

I have undertaken a line by line check of the report and discussed defect scoring and word selection with the inspector and the engineer. It is noted that the cost of repair is not easily estimated short of a tender process where specialist contractors may be required to price the deconstruction of the bridge in order to replace components and undertake weld repairs, where possible. The deterioration of hidden elements is not known which could lead to further escalation of costs. The bridge may well be beyond economic repair.

Checker's Name:

Engineer's Comments:

This 2023 Principal Inspection has found the bridge to be in a poor condition, with a BCI average score of 52.64 and a BCI critical score of 22.12. The BCI critical score is due to the numerous defects to elements 1, 2 and 3 which is predominantly corrosion and loss of section to these elements and due to loss of rivets or heavy corrosion to these rivets. Suitable remedial works are required to repair these areas of corrosion and prevent further corrosion to these elements in the future.

Engineer's Name:

Report Status: App Submission Count: 1

Approved

Submitted Date: Print Date:

| spection tructure | | | Inspection Date: Identifier: | 27/06/20 |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|----------|
| Vorks R | lequired: | | | |
| OXTED | - Span 1 | | | |
| Deck E | Elements | | | |
| No | Element Name | Remedial Works | Priority | Cost £k |
| L | 01/Primary Deck Element | Abrade corrosion and repaint with a suitable protective paint system to match existing all areas of corrosion to the main beams of bridge. Repair areas of section loss to the main beams of bridge deck and replace any severely corroded rivets. This would need major excavation, encapsulation and propping for works to be carried out. | Н | 250.00 |
| L | 01/Primary Deck Element | Remedial works and costing of works included in remedial works to defect 1.2 above. | Н | |
| 1 | 01/Primary Deck Element | Remedial works and costing of works included in remedial works to defect 1.2 above. | Н | |
| 1 | 01/Primary Deck Element | Sealant to be replaced. | Н | 1.00 |
| 2 | 02/Sec.Deck Elem/s Transv Beam | Abrade to remove corrosion and repaint affected areas with a suitable protective paint system to match existing. Repair areas of section loss. Remedial works and costing of works included in remedial works to defect 1.2 above. | Н | |
| 2 | 02/Sec.Deck Elem/s Transv Beam | Replace heavily defected riveted bottom flange plates to transverse beams, costing included in works to element 2.1 | Н | |
| 2 | 02/Sec.Deck Elem/s Transv Beam | Replace missing rivet. | Н | 0.30 |
| 3 | 03/Sec.Deck Element/s Other | Abrade corrosion and repaint. Repair areas of section loss. Remedial works and costing of works included in remedial works to defect 1.2 above. | М | |
| 3 | 03/Sec.Deck Element/s Other | Remedial works and costing included in element 3.2 above. | L | |
| 3 | 03/Sec.Deck Element/s Other | Repair spalling to concrete jack arch infill and edge of hogging plate section. Costing of works included in remedial works to defect 1.2 above. | М | |
| 2 Load-l | bearing Substructure | | | |
| No | Element Name | Remedial Works | Priority | Cost £k |
| 3 | 08/Foundations | Settlement is historic with no evidence of new movement. Recommend stitching of the cracks and re-pointing. | L | 2.00 |
| 9 | 09/Abutments(inc.arch spring) | Repair and seal cracks to abutment over 1mm wide and repair any areas of concrete spalling. | М | 10.00 |
| 3 Durab | ility Elements | | | |
| No | Element Name | Remedial Works | Priority | Cost £k |
| .9 | 19/Finishes:deck elements | Remove defective paintwork and re-apply a new | Н | 5.00 |
| 21 | 21/Finishes:parapet/saf.fences | protective paint system to match existing. Remove defective paintwork and re-apply a new protective paint system to match existing to south east approach railings. | М | 1.00 |
| Safety | Elements | | | |
| ٥ | Element Name | Remedial Works | Priority | Cost £k |
| 23 | 23/Handrail/parapet/saf.fence s | Remove vegetation | Н | 1.00 |
| 23 | 23/Handrail/parapet/saf.fence s | Repair and replace missing brick work. | М | 2.00 |
| 23 | 23/Handrail/parapet/saf.fence s | Replace missing mortar to south west pilaster, north west pilaster and north east pilaster. | L | 1.00 |
| 23 | 23/Handrail/parapet/saf.fence s | Seal and repair cracks to brickwork in north east pilaster and south west pilaster. | M | 2.00 |
| 24 | 24/Carriageway surfacing | Repair potholes and repaint centre lane markings | L | 2.50 |
| 24 | 24/Carriageway surfacing | Resurface carriageway level. | L | 10.00 |
| 25 | 25/Fway/verge/fbrdge surfacing | Remove vegetation. | н | 0.30 |
| 25 | 25/Fway/verge/fbrdge surfacing | Replace concrete fillet between east carriageway kerbing and east edge girder. | Н | 2.00 |
| 5 Other | Bridge Elements | | | |
| 10 | Element Name | Remedial Works | Priority | Cost £k |
| 26 | 26/Invert/river bed | Remove submerged posts and rope. | Н | 1.00 |
| 31 | 31/Wing walls | Possible investigation in to tree removal to prevent further structural damage. | Н | 10.00 |
| 31 | 31/Wing walls | Repair / replace areas of brick damage | L | 5.00 |
| 51 | | | | |

 Report Status:
 Approved

 Submission Count:
 1

Submitted Date: Print Date: 14/07/2023 31/08/2023

BridgeStation

Page 19 of 100

| Inspection T Structure Na | | eport | Inspection Date: Identifier: | 27/06/2023 0059 |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| 31 | 31/Wing walls | Replace / repair damaged section of wing walls | Н | 15.00 |
| 31 | 31/Wing walls | Replace / repair damaged wing walls | Н | 15.00 |
| 31 | 31/Wing walls | Replace missing pointing mortar to north east wing wall and to south west wing wall. | L | 1.00 |
| 31 | 31/Wing walls | Replace pattress plates and tie rod nuts. | М | 4.00 |
| 33 | 33/Embankments | Removal of trees to prevent further structural damage. | Н | 8.00 |
| 6 Ancillary | Elements | | | |
| No | Element Name | Remedial Works | Priority | Cost £k |
| 35 | Approach rails/barriers/walls | Replace broken concrete post and D cap. | Н | 1.50 |

£355.60 k

Subi

Severe corrosion leading to section loss.

Defect View Photographs BOXTED - Span 1

Deck Elements



Element Name No Primary deck element (Table 2) 1

Element Description 01/Primary Deck Element

Comment

Both edge girders presented severe corrosion with section loss with worst area affected being the top flange of the east edge girder at the south end where there was a perforation of 100mm x 35mm. A perforation was also noted to the top flange of the west girder at south end due to corrosion through entire section, 40mm x 25mm.

Corrosion leading to section loss was recorded to the top flange of the west girder at south end and the top flange of the east girder at the north end.

There was section loss to the bottom flange of the western edge girder at 1m and 13m in from the south. There was section loss to the bottom flange of the eastern edge girder at 0.1m and 9m from the south where there was a perforation due to heavy corrosion. Sporadic section loss to the topside of both east and west bottom flange, where the thickness was recorded to a maximum depth of 10mm into the surface, worst areas affected being the west side between 7m-9m in from the south.

The west face of the topside east edge girder web and stiffeners had major deterioration and section loss due to corrosion along the lower areas adjacent the carriageway full span, mainly at the T-stiffeners with the worst area being between 4m - 9m in from the south. At 5m in from the south pilaster a perforation was noted completely through the bottom of the T stiffener measuring 60mm x 60mm and the girder web lower area was corroded 10mm in depth through the 20mm thick web section.

Moderate sporadic corrosion to east and west edge girder webs and T stiffeners both carriageway side and river side leading to loss in section of up to 50% and deflection of riveted plates.

Remedial Works

Abrade corrosion and repaint with a suitable protective paint system to match existing all areas of corrosion to the main beams of bridge. Repair areas of section loss to the main beams of bridge deck and replace any severely corroded rivets. This would need major excavation, encapsulation and propping for works to be carried out.

Defect

1.2

Priority

н

Works

Cost £k

250

Ext

С

4

External East Girder Bottom Flange (north end)

Severe corrosion leading to perforation and section loss to flange edge.



| No | Element Name |
|----|--------------------------------|
| 1 | Primary deck element (Table 2) |

Element Description 01/Primary Deck Element

Comment

Both edge girders presented severe corrosion with section loss with worst area affected being the top flange of the east edge girder at the south end where there was a perforation of 100mm x 35mm. A perforation was also noted to the top flange of the west girder at south end due to corrosion through entire section, 40mm x 25mm.

Corrosion leading to section loss was recorded to the top flange of the west girder at south end and the top flange of the east girder at the north end.

There was section loss to the bottom flange of the western edge girder at 1m and 13m in from the south. There was section loss to the bottom flange of the eastern edge girder at 0.1m and 9m from the south where there was a perforation due to heavy corrosion. Sporadic section loss to the topside of both east and west bottom flange, where the thickness was recorded to a maximum depth of 10mm into the surface, worst areas affected being the west side between 7m-9m in from the south.

The west face of the topside east edge girder web and stiffeners had major deterioration and section loss due to corrosion along the lower areas adjacent the carriageway full span, mainly at the T-stiffeners with the worst area being between 4m - 9m in from the south. At 5m in from the south pilaster a perforation was noted completely through the bottom of the T stiffener measuring 60mm x 60mm and the girder web lower area was corroded 10mm in depth through the 20mm thick web section.

Moderate sporadic corrosion to east and west edge girder webs and T stiffeners both carriageway side and river side leading to loss in section of up to 50% and deflection of riveted plates.

Remedial Works

Abrade corrosion and repaint with a suitable protective paint system to match existing all areas of corrosion to the main beams of bridge. Repair areas of section loss to the main beams of bridge deck and replace any severely corroded rivets. This would need major excavation, encapsulation and propping for works to be carried out.

Ext

С

4

Defect

1.2

Priority

н

Works

v

Cost £k

250

BOXTED - Span 1

Principal Inspection Report BOXTED

West External Edge Girder Bottom

Flange Corrosion leading to section loss of 10mm in depth to surface.



| . Name | Element Description |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| deck element (Table 2 |) 01/Primary Deck Element |

Comment

1

Primary

Both edge girders presented severe corrosion with section loss with worst area affected being the top flange of the east edge girder at the south end where there was a perforation of 100mm x 35mm. A perforation was also noted to the top flange of the west girder at south end due to corrosion through entire section, 40mm x 25mm.

Corrosion leading to section loss was recorded to the top flange of the west girder at south end and the top flange of the east girder at the north end.

There was section loss to the bottom flange of the western edge girder at 1m and 13m in from the south. There was section loss to the bottom flange of the eastern edge girder at 0.1m and 9m from the south where there was a perforation due to heavy corrosion. Sporadic section loss to the topside of both east and west bottom flange, where the thickness was recorded to a maximum depth of 10mm into the surface, worst areas affected being the west side between 7m-9m in from the south.

The west face of the topside east edge girder web and stiffeners had major deterioration and section loss due to corrosion along the lower areas adjacent the carriageway full span, mainly at the T-stiffeners with the worst area being between 4m - 9m in from the south. At 5m in from the south pilaster a perforation was noted completely through the bottom of the T stiffener measuring 60mm x 60mm and the girder web lower area was corroded 10mm in depth through the 20mm thick web section.

Moderate sporadic corrosion to east and west edge girder webs and T stiffeners both carriageway side and river side leading to loss in section of up to 50% and deflection of riveted plates.

| Remedial | Works |
|----------|-------|
| | |

4

Abrade corrosion and repaint with a suitable protective paint system to match existing all areas of corrosion to the main beams of bridge. Repair areas of section loss to the main beams of bridge deck and replace any severely corroded rivets. This would need major excavation, encapsulation and propping for works to be carried out.

Defect

1.2

Priority

Н

Works

Υ

Cost £k

250

Ext

С

BridgeStation

Submitted Date: Print Date:

Principal Inspection Report BOXTED Inspection Date: Identifier:

BOXTED - Span 1 Deck Elements



Internal Web Face of East Girder Web

and T Stiffener (midspan) Severe corrosion along the lower areas of the girder, particularly at T stiffener connections which has led to complete section loss to the stiffener at 5m in from the south, shown here and loss of up to 50% in edge girder web section.

| Element Description | | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|-------------------------|--|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| 01/Primary Deck Element | | 4 | С | 1.2 | Н | Y | 250 |
| | | | | | | | |

Comment

1

Element Name

Primary deck element (Table 2)

Both edge girders presented severe corrosion with section loss with worst area affected being the top flange of the east edge girder at the south end where there was a perforation of 100mm x 35mm. A perforation was also noted to the top flange of the west girder at south end due to corrosion through entire section, 40mm x 25mm.

Corrosion leading to section loss was recorded to the top flange of the west girder at south end and the top flange of the east girder at the north end.

There was section loss to the bottom flange of the western edge girder at 1m and 13m in from the south. There was section loss to the bottom flange of the eastern edge girder at 0.1m and 9m from the south where there was a perforation due to heavy corrosion. Sporadic section loss to the topside of both east and west bottom flange, where the thickness was recorded to a maximum depth of 10mm into the surface, worst areas affected being the west side between 7m-9m in from the south.

The west face of the topside east edge girder web and stiffeners had major deterioration and section loss due to corrosion along the lower areas adjacent the carriageway full span, mainly at the T-stiffeners with the worst area being between 4m - 9m in from the south. At 5m in from the south pilaster a perforation was noted completely through the bottom of the T stiffener measuring 60mm x 60mm and the girder web lower area was corroded 10mm in depth through the 20mm thick web section.

Moderate sporadic corrosion to east and west edge girder webs and T stiffeners both carriageway side and river side leading to loss in section of up to 50% and deflection of riveted plates.

Remedial Works

Abrade corrosion and repaint with a suitable protective paint system to match existing all areas of corrosion to the main beams of bridge. Repair areas of section loss to the main beams of bridge deck and replace any severely corroded rivets. This would need major excavation, encapsulation and propping for works to be carried out.

Report Status:ApprovedSubmission Count:1

BridgeStation

Submitted Date: Print Date:

Principal Inspection Report BOXTED

Inspection Date:

Identifier:

BOXTED - Span 1 **Deck Elements**

No

Comment

1



| Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| Primary deck element (Table 2) | 01/Primary Deck Element | 4 | С | 1.1 | Н | Y | |
| nment | Remedi | al Works | | | | | |

Severe corrosion to both the east and west edge girder top flanges and splice plates at midspan has caused the splice plates to deflect a maximum of 35mm to the east and a maximum of 27mm to the west.

Severe corrosion to the east and west edge girders top and bottoms flanges adjacent to the pilasters.

Severe corrosion and pitting to the east and west edge girders bottom flange underside adjacent to the channel section, jack arches and transverse beams.

Severe corrosion and pitting to the east and west edge girder inner webs midspan above the bottom flanges where jack arches expose bottom web sections.

Moderate sporadic corrosion to east and west edge girder webs and T stiffeners and T stiffener riveted plates to both faces. Worst being the east face of the east edge girder web and riveted plates between 3m-8m in from the south.

Moderate and minor corrosion was noted to the web, T stiffeners edges, edge girder top and bottom flange external edges.

Severe corrosion and pitting to SE, NE, SW and NW bearing plates.

Remedial works and costing of works included in remedial works to defect 1.2 above.

Report Status: Approved Submission Count: 1

Submitted Date: **Print Date:**



Principal Inspection Report BOXTED

East Edge Girder Top Flange (north

Corrosion to exposed top flange edges.

side)

BOXTED - Span 1 Deck Elements

Element Na

Primary de

No

1

Comment



| Name | Element Description | | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| leck element (Table 2) | 01/Primary Deck Element | | 4 | С | 1.1 | Н | Y | |
| | | Remedial Work | s | | | | | |

Severe corrosion to both the east and west edge girder top flanges and splice plates at midspan has caused the splice plates to deflect a maximum of 35mm to the east and a maximum of 27mm to the west.

Severe corrosion to the east and west edge girders top and bottoms flanges adjacent to the pilasters.

Severe corrosion and pitting to the east and west edge girders bottom flange underside adjacent to the channel section, jack arches and transverse beams.

Severe corrosion and pitting to the east and west edge girder inner webs midspan above the bottom flanges where jack arches expose bottom web sections.

Moderate sporadic corrosion to east and west edge girder webs and T stiffeners and T stiffener riveted plates to both faces. Worst being the east face of the east edge girder web and riveted plates between 3m-8m in from the south.

Moderate and minor corrosion was noted to the web, T stiffeners edges, edge girder top and bottom flange external edges.

Severe corrosion and pitting to SE, NE, SW and NW bearing plates.

Remedial Works

Remedial works and costing of works included in remedial works to defect 1.2 above.

Principal Inspection Report BOXTED



East Edge Girder Bottom Flange (south side)

Corrosion and pitting adjacent channel section.

| 2.3 | and the later - | and the second second | - | | | | | | |
|-----|--------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| No | Element Name | Element Description | | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
| 1 | Primary deck element (Table 2) | 01/Primary Deck Element | | 4 | С | 1.1 | Н | Y | |
| Con | iment | | Remedial Works | | | | | | |
| ~ | | | D | | | | | | |

Severe corrosion to both the east and west edge girder top flanges and splice plates at midspan has caused the splice plates to deflect a maximum of 35mm to the east and a maximum of 27mm to the west.

Severe corrosion to the east and west edge girders top and bottoms flanges adjacent to the pilasters.

Severe corrosion and pitting to the east and west edge girders bottom flange underside adjacent to the channel section, jack arches and transverse beams.

Severe corrosion and pitting to the east and west edge girder inner webs midspan above the bottom flanges where jack arches expose bottom web sections.

Moderate sporadic corrosion to east and west edge girder webs and T stiffeners and T stiffener riveted plates to both faces. Worst being the east face of the east edge girder web and riveted plates between 3m-8m in from the south.

Moderate and minor corrosion was noted to the web, T stiffeners edges, edge girder top and bottom flange external edges.

Severe corrosion and pitting to SE, NE, SW and NW bearing plates.

Remedial works and costing of works included in remedial works to defect 1.2 above.

Principal Inspection Report BOXTED Inspection Date: Identifier:

West Edge Girder Bottom Flange and

Severe corrosion and pitting to the bottom flange inner edge and bottom inner web.

Inner Web (midspan)

27/06/2023 0059

BOXTED - Span 1 Deck Elements

| | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k | | | | |
|-----------|-------------------------|------------|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| (Table 2) | 01/Primary Deck Element | 4 | С | 1.1 | Н | Y | | | | | |
| | Reme | dial Works | | | | | | | | | |

Remedial works and costing of works included in remedial works to defect 1.2 above.

1 Primary deck element (Tab

Element Name

Comment

No

Severe corrosion to both the east and west edge girder top flanges and splice plates at midspan has caused the splice plates to deflect a maximum of 35mm to the east and a maximum of 27mm to the west.

Severe corrosion to the east and west edge girders top and bottoms flanges adjacent to the pilasters.

Severe corrosion and pitting to the east and west edge girders bottom flange underside adjacent to the channel section, jack arches and transverse beams.

Severe corrosion and pitting to the east and west edge girder inner webs midspan above the bottom flanges where jack arches expose bottom web sections.

Moderate sporadic corrosion to east and west edge girder webs and T stiffeners and T stiffener riveted plates to both faces. Worst being the east face of the east edge girder web and riveted plates between 3m-8m in from the south.

Moderate and minor corrosion was noted to the web, T stiffeners edges, edge girder top and bottom flange external edges.

Severe corrosion and pitting to SE, NE, SW and NW bearing plates.

Report Status:ApprovedSubmission Count:1

Submitted Date: Print Date:



BOXTED - Span 1 **Deck Elements**



| East External Edge Girder (south side) |
|--|
| Corrosion to the edge girder web and rivet |
| plates of the T stiffeners. |

| 12 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | 1 | | | | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| No | Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
| 1 | Primary deck element (Table 2) | 01/Primary Deck Element | 4 | С | 1.1 | Н | Y | |
| Con | ıment | Remea | lial Works | | | | | |

Severe corrosion to both the east and west edge girder top flanges and splice plates at midspan has caused the splice plates to deflect a maximum of 35mm to the east and a maximum of 27mm to the west.

Severe corrosion to the east and west edge girders top and bottoms flanges adjacent to the pilasters.

Severe corrosion and pitting to the east and west edge girders bottom flange underside adjacent to the channel section, jack arches and transverse beams.

Severe corrosion and pitting to the east and west edge girder inner webs midspan above the bottom flanges where jack arches expose bottom web sections.

Moderate sporadic corrosion to east and west edge girder webs and T stiffeners and T stiffener riveted plates to both faces. Worst being the east face of the east edge girder web and riveted plates between 3m-8m in from the south.

Moderate and minor corrosion was noted to the web, T stiffeners edges, edge girder top and bottom flange external edges.

Severe corrosion and pitting to SE, NE, SW and NW bearing plates.

Remedial works and costing of works included in remedial works to defect 1.2 above.

Principal Inspection Report BOXTED

BOXTED - Span 1

Deck Elements

South East Bearing Plate Corrosion to bearing plate.



| No | Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|-----|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| 1 | Primary deck element (Table 2) | 01/Primary Deck Element | 4 | С | 1.1 | Н | Y | |
| Con | ıment | Remedial Worl | ks | | | | | |

Severe corrosion to both the east and west edge girder top flanges and splice plates at midspan has caused the splice plates to deflect a maximum of 35mm to the east and a maximum of 27mm to the west.

Severe corrosion to the east and west edge girders top and bottoms flanges adjacent to the pilasters.

Severe corrosion and pitting to the east and west edge girders bottom flange underside adjacent to the channel section, jack arches and transverse beams.

Severe corrosion and pitting to the east and west edge girder inner webs midspan above the bottom flanges where jack arches expose bottom web sections.

Moderate sporadic corrosion to east and west edge girder webs and T stiffeners and T stiffener riveted plates to both faces. Worst being the east face of the east edge girder web and riveted plates between 3m-8m in from the south.

Moderate and minor corrosion was noted to the web, T stiffeners edges, edge girder top and bottom flange external edges.

Severe corrosion and pitting to SE, NE, SW and NW bearing plates.

Remedial works and costing of works included in remedial works to defect 1.2 above.

No 1 Com

BOXTED - Span 1

Principal Inspection Report BOXTED

East Edge Girder Stiffener Rivets (East Face) (south end) Corroded rivet heads.



| Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| Primary deck element (Table 2) | 01/Primary Deck Element | 4 | В | 1.3 | Н | Y | |
| nment | Works | | | | | | |

Several rivets to stiffening plates, edge girder top flange and web had corroded away and some were corroding away at the following locations (chainage in from the west);

- East external edge girder 2no. 3m in from the south.

- East internal edge girder top flange at 3m, 6m and 7m in from the south.
- West external edge girder 2no. at 4m and 5m in from the south.

- West internal edge girder top flange at 0.5m, 1.5m, $4m,\,6.5m,\,7m,\,7.5m,\,8m$ and 11m in from the south.

Remedial works and costing of works included in remedial works to defect 1.2 above.

Principal Inspection Report BOXTED

BOXTED - Span 1

No 1 Con

<section-header>

West Edge Girder Top Flange (south

end) Rivet corroding away with protective paint loss to girder.

| | | | An THE HARDING | 15 | | | | | |
|-------|---|-------------------------|----------------|---------|------------|--------------|----------------|-------|---------|
|) | Element Name | Element Description | | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
| | Primary deck element (Table 2) | 01/Primary Deck Element | | 4 | В | 1.3 | Н | Y | |
| mment | | | Remedial Work | s | | | | | |
| v | eral rivets to stiffening plates, edge girder | top flange | Remedial works | and cos | stina of v | vorks includ | led in remedia | al | |

Several rivets to stiffening plates, edge girder top flange and web had corroded away and some were corroding away at the following locations (chainage in from the west);

- East external edge girder 2no. 3m in from the south.

- East internal edge girder top flange at 3m, 6m and 7m in from the south.
- West external edge girder 2no. at 4m and 5m in from the south.

- West internal edge girder top flange at 0.5m, 1.5m, 4m, 6.5m, 7m, 7.5m, 8m and 11m in from the south.

Remedial works and costing of works included in remedial works to defect 1.2 above.



BOXTED - Span 1

Deck Elements

| No | Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| 1 | Primary deck element (Table 2) | 01/Primary Deck Element | 4 | В | 1.3 | Н | Y | |
| Comment Remedial | | | ks | | | | | |

works to defect 1.2 above.

Several rivets to stiffening plates, edge girder top flange and web had corroded away and some were corroding away at the following locations (chainage in from the west);

- East external edge girder 2no. 3m in from the south.

- East internal edge girder top flange at 3m, 6m and 7m in from the south.
- West external edge girder 2no. at 4m and 5m in from the south.

- West internal edge girder top flange at 0.5m, 1.5m, $4m,\,6.5m,\,7m,\,7.5m,\,8m$ and 11m in from the south.

Western Girder (East Face)

Missing rivet.

Remedial works and costing of works included in remedial



Principal Inspection Report BOXTED

BOXTED - Span 1 **Deck Elements**



East Internal Edge Girder (south end) Deformation of end plate of 15mm due to impact damage.

| No | Element Name | Element Description | | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|--|
| 1 | Primary deck element (Table 2) | 01/Primary Deck Element | | 3 | С | 13.1 | L | Ν | | |
| Comment R | | Remedial Works | | | | | | | | |
| Historic impact damage appeared to have caused | | | [none] | | | | | | | |

deformation of the western edge girder's end plate, at the northern pilaster. The deformation was measured to be 30mm.

Historic impact damage appeared to have caused deformation of the eastern edge girder's end plate, at the southern pilaster. The deformation was measured to be 15mm.

Report Status: Approved Submission Count: 1

Principal Inspection Report BOXTED

BOXTED - Span 1 Deck Elements

West Internal Edge Girder (north end) Deformation of end plate of 30mm due to inpact damage.

| Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| Primary deck element (Table 2) | 01/Primary Deck Element | 3 | С | 13.1 | L | Ν | |
| nment | ial Works | | | | | | |

[none]

Comment

No

1

Historic impact damage appeared to have caused deformation of the western edge girder's end plate, at the northern pilaster. The deformation was measured to be 30mm.

Historic impact damage appeared to have caused deformation of the eastern edge girder's end plate, at the southern pilaster. The deformation was measured to be 15mm.

Report Status:ApprovedSubmission Count:1

Principal Inspection Report BOXTED

East Edge Girder Web (midspan) Rotation of 37mm/m. Overall Girder rotation

of up to 65mm full height.

BOXTED - Span 1 Deck Elements



Element DescriptionSevExtDefectPriorityWorksCost £k01/Primary Deck Element3CMN

Remedial Works

[none]

Comment

Element Name

No

1

The east edge girder had rotated inwards by 65mm measured mid span. The west edge girder had rotated inwards by 30mm measured at the north end. All measurements taken from the web. These rotations may be evidence of lateral torsional buckling.

Primary deck element (Table 2)

East Edge Girder Bottom Flange

Disused birds nest on east edge girder inner bottom flange between the 2nd and 3rd transverse beam from the south.



No **Element Name Element Description** Sev Ext Defect Priority Works Cost £k 01/Primary Deck Element 1 Primary deck element (Table 2) 2 В L Ν Comment **Remedial Works** Disused birds nest on east edge girder inner bottom [none] flange between the 2nd and 3rd transverse beam from the south.
Principal Inspection Report BOXTED

East Internal Topside Edge Girder

Sealant broken up and cracked.

(midpoint)

BOXTED - Span 1 **Deck Elements**



| No | Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|-----|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| 1 | Primary deck element (Table 2) | 01/Primary Deck Element | 4 | С | 17.13 | Н | Y | 1 |
| Con | ıment | Remedial Work | s | | | | | |

Sealant along the carriageway edge and the east edge girder cracked and breaking up between 4m to 9m in from the south.

Sealant to be replaced.



Principal Inspection Report BOXTED

East Transverse Beam (At south end) Severe section loss and perforation due to corrosion. (1st beam in from the south)

BOXTED - Span 1 Deck Elements



No Element Name

2 Transverse beams

| Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|-----------------------------------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| 02/Sec.Deck Elem/s Transv Beam | 4 | D | 1.2 | Н | Y | |

Comment

Transverse beams bottom flanges severely corroded resulting in perforations and section loss to both width and thickness at beam ends with the worst areas being the east side at north and south ends and west side at north end. The worst beam was at the east side at the south end where beam width is normally 310mm with section loss the width was 220mm (90mm loss in width) the beam thickness was feathered from corrosion and section loss,

Remedial Works

Abrade to remove corrosion and repaint affected areas with a suitable protective paint system to match existing. Repair areas of section loss. Remedial works and costing of works included in remedial works to defect 1.2 above.



BOXTED - Span 1 Deck Elements



No Element Name

2 Transverse beams

Element Description 02/Sec.Deck Elem/s Transv Beam

Comment

Transverse beams bottom flanges severely corroded resulting in perforations and section loss to both width and thickness at beam ends with the worst areas being the east side at north and south ends and west side at north end. The worst beam was at the east side at the south end where beam width is normally 310mm with section loss the width was 220mm (90mm loss in width) the beam thickness was feathered from corrosion and section loss,

Remedial Works

Sev

4

Abrade to remove corrosion and repaint affected areas with a suitable protective paint system to match existing. Repair areas of section loss. Remedial works and costing of works included in remedial works to defect 1.2 above.

Defect

1.2

Priority

Н

Works

Y

Cost £k

Ext

D

Principal Inspection Report BOXTED

BOXTED - Span 1

Deck Elements

West Transverse Beam (at north end) Severe section loss and corrosion. (6th beam in from the south)



Element Name No

2 Transverse beams

| Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|---------------------------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| 02/Sec.Deck Elem/s Transv | 4 | D | 1.2 | Н | Y | |
| Beam | | | | | | |

Comment

Transverse beams bottom flanges severely corroded resulting in perforations and section loss to both width and thickness at beam ends with the worst areas being the east side at north and south ends and west side at north end. The worst beam was at the east side at the south end where beam width is normally 310mm with section loss the width was 220mm (90mm loss in width) the beam thickness was feathered from corrosion and section loss,

Remedial Works

Abrade to remove corrosion and repaint affected areas with a suitable protective paint system to match existing. Repair areas of section loss. Remedial works and costing of works included in remedial works to defect 1.2 above.

Principal Inspection Report BOXTED

Transverse Beam Plate (East Side) Severe deflection due to corrosion up to 80mm. (6th beam in from the south)

BOXTED - Span 1 Deck Elements



No Element Name

2 Transverse beams

Element DescriptionSevExtDefectPriorityWorksCost £k02/Sec.Deck Elem/s Transv4D1.1HYBeam

Comment

Transverse beam bottom flange riveted plates had severe deflection to external edges throughout deck soffit due to corrosion measuring between 20mm to a maximum of 80mm from transverse beam bottom flange (normal thickness of riveted plate at centre is 17mm). Worst plates affected were on the east side 3rd and 6th beam from the south.

Remedial Works

Replace heavily defected riveted bottom flange plates to transverse beams, costing included in works to element 2.1



Riveted Transverse Beam Plate (East Side)

Missing rivet due to deflection. (1st beam in from the south)

| | WI SUR MANY | | | | | | | | |
|-------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----|--------|-------------|-------|------------|--|
| No | Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k | |
| 2 | Transverse beams | 02/Sec.Deck Elem/s Transv Beam | 4 | В | 1.3 | Н | Y | 0.3 | |
| Com | ment | R | emedial Works | | | | | | |
| defle | ing rivet due to corrosion wh action on the riveted transver beam in from the south. | | eplace missing rivet. | | | | | | |
| Repo | t Status: Appro | ved | | | S | ubmitted Da | te: | 14/07/2023 | |

BridgeStation

1

Submission Count:

Page 41 of 100

Print Date:

31/08/2023

Principal Inspection Report BOXTED

BOXTED - Span 1 Deck Elements

| lack | Arch | at | Fact | cida |
|------|------|----|------|------|

Jack arch hogging plate separation of up to 5mm to east side between the 4th and 5th transverse beam from the south end.

| | | No. 8 No. 1 No. 1 | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| No | Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
| 3 | Element from Table 3 | 03/Sec.Deck Element/s Other | 2 | D | | М | Ν | |
| Comment Remedial Works | | Works | | | | | | |
| Jack arch hogging plates misaligned and separated at | | ated at [none] | | | | | | |

Jack arch hogging plates misaligned and separated at jack arch section joints throughout the deck soffit. The worst misalignment was to the west jack arch at north end which had a change in level of 30mm. The worst jack arch separation gap was noted at 5mm which was located to the east side between the 4th and 5th transverse beam from the south end.

Principal Inspection Report BOXTED

BOXTED - Span 1 Deck Elements

 No
 Element Name
 Element Description
 Sev
 Ext
 Defect
 Priority
 Works

3 Element from Table 3

Element DescriptionSevExtDefectPriorityWorksCost £k03/Sec.Deck Element/s Other2DMN

Comment

Jack arch hogging plates misaligned and separated at jack arch section joints throughout the deck soffit. The worst misalignment was to the west jack arch at north end which had a change in level of 30mm. The worst jack arch separation gap was noted at 5mm which was located to the east side between the 4th and 5th transverse beam from the south end. Remedial Works [none]

Report Status:ApprovedSubmission Count:1



Principal Inspection Report BOXTED

East Jack Arch at South End Section loss to jack arch hogging plate.

BOXTED - Span 1 Deck Elements

Verte Element Description Sev

No Element Name3 Element from Table 3

Element Description 03/Sec.Deck Element/s Other

Comment

Section loss to hogging plate due to historic corrosion on the east jack arch at north and south end adjacent channelling exposing concrete infill.

Section loss to channel section bottom flanges due to historic corrosion full width at east and west sides with the worst affected areas being the north and south ends of the east channel section. Channel bottom flange width normally measures approximately 80mm and was 45mm on east side at south end and 50mm at east side at north end. The west channel sections were corroded down to 60mm at narrowest width at north and south ends.

Remedial Works

Abrade corrosion and repaint. Repair areas of section loss. Remedial works and costing of works included in remedial works to defect 1.2 above.

Ext

В

3

Defect

1.2

Priority

М

Works

Y

Cost £k

Principal Inspection Report BOXTED

BOXTED - Span 1 Deck Elements



East Channel Section at South End. Section loss of up to 35mm to bottom flange of channel section.

| NUMBER OF A STREET OF A ST | |
|--|------------------|
| Element Name | Element Descript |
| Element from Table 3 | 03/Sec.Deck Ele |

| Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|-----------------------------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| 03/Sec.Deck Element/s Other | 3 | В | 1.2 | М | Y | |

Comment

No

3

Section loss to hogging plate due to historic corrosion on the east jack arch at north and south end adjacent channelling exposing concrete infill.

Section loss to channel section bottom flanges due to historic corrosion full width at east and west sides with the worst affected areas being the north and south ends of the east channel section. Channel bottom flange width normally measures approximately 80mm and was 45mm on east side at south end and 50mm at east side at north end. The west channel sections were corroded down to 60mm at narrowest width at north and south ends.

Remedial Works

Abrade corrosion and repaint. Repair areas of section loss. Remedial works and costing of works included in remedial works to defect 1.2 above.

 Inspection Type:
 Principal Inspection Report

 Structure Name:
 BOXTED

East Jack Arch Hogging Plate Joint (at

Minor corrosion of hogging plates at joint.

south end)

BOXTED - Span 1 Deck Elements



| Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|-----------------------------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| 03/Sec.Deck Element/s Other | 3 | С | 1.1 | L | Y | |

Comment

Element Name

Element from Table 3

No

3

Widespread corrosion to the jack arch hogging plates at the following locations, the jack arch joints, at edge girder, at channel sections and at transverse beam joints throughout the deck with the worst of the corrosion being to the east side.

Minor corrosion to external edges of longitudinal beams at north and south ends.

Remedial Works

Remedial works and costing included in element 3.2 above.

Principal Inspection Report BOXTED

BOXTED - Span 1 **Deck Elements**



East Jack Arch Hogging Plate Edge (at south end) Significant corrosion of hogging plate edge

at joint with transverse and girder beams.

| 5 | 1.1.1 | |
|----|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| No | Element Name | Element Description |
| 3 | Element from Table 3 | 03/Sec.Deck Element/s Other |

| Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|----------------------------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| 3/Sec.Deck Element/s Other | 3 | С | 1.1 | L | Y | |

Remedial works and costing included in element 3.2 above.

Remedial Works

Comment

Widespread corrosion to the jack arch hogging plates at the following locations, the jack arch joints, at edge girder, at channel sections and at transverse beam joints throughout the deck with the worst of the corrosion being to the east side.

Minor corrosion to external edges of longitudinal beams at north and south ends.

East Jack Arch at South End.

Hogging plate section loss exposing concrete infill which has spalled to a depth of 45mm maximum.

| No | Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------------|--|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| 3 | Element from Table 3 | 03/Sec.Deck Element/s Other | | В | 2.1 | М | Y | |
| Con | Comment | | Works | | | | | |
| Spalling to concrete infill in jack arch edges on the east | | | Repair spalling to concrete jack arch infill and edge of hogging | | | | | |

side adjacent channel sections at north and south ends, jack arch infill spalling depth up to 45mm maximum.

plate section. Costing of works included in remedial works to

defect 1.2 above.

Report Status: Approved Submission Count: 1

BridgeStation

Submitted Date: **Print Date:** 14/07/2023 31/08/2023

Principal Inspection Report BOXTED

BOXTED - Span 1

Load-bearing Substructure



Element Name No 8 Foundations

Element Description 08/Foundations

Comment

Possible evidence of historic foundation settlement due to full height cracks to both abutments at east end and north east wing wall which has displaced / separated by 250mm and moved 90mm eastwards. No evidence of new movement noted.

Remedial Works

Settlement is historic with no evidence of new movement. Recommend stitching of the cracks and re-pointing.

Ext

С

Sev

2

Defect

6.1



North East Wing Wall (looking north)

Priority

L

Works

Υ

Cost £k

2

Wing wall movement indicating possible movement of foundations.

| No | Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|-----|--------------|---------------------|-------|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| 8 | Foundations | 08/Foundations | 2 | С | 6.1 | L | Y | 2 |
| Con | nment | Remedial | Works | | | | | |

Comment

Possible evidence of historic foundation settlement due to full height cracks to both abutments at east end and north east wing wall which has displaced / separated by 250mm and moved 90mm eastwards. No evidence of new movement noted.

Settlement is historic with no evidence of new movement. Recommend stitching of the cracks and re-pointing.

BridgeStation

Submitted Date: **Print Date:**

14/07/2023 31/08/2023

South Abutment (west end) Jack arch infill has shrinkage cracks.

BOXTED - Span 1

Load-bearing Substructure



| | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|---|-------------------------------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
|) | 09/Abutments(inc.arch spring) | 3 | С | 3.5 | М | Y | 10 |

Comment

Element Name

Abutments (inc arch springing)

No

9

2no. vertical cracks parallel to each other to south abutment at east end (6m in from the west). Upper crack extending from jack arch infill down through bearing stones and masonry abutment 0.7m in length, open 3mm (upper), 20mm (centre) and 1.5mm (lower), the lower crack extends 1.55m through masonry abutment down to concrete edging / training, open 1mm (upper), 12mm (centre) and hairline (lower).

Vertical crack to north abutment at east end (6m in from the west) extending 1.8m full height from the jack arch through the bearing stones and masonry abutment down to the concrete edging / training, open 1mm (upper), 10mm (above midpoint) and 1mm (lower). An area of brick spalling was noted above the midpoint of the crack or $0.1m \times 0.1m \times 10mm$ in depth.

Shrinkage hairline cracks to all jack arch infills above the bearing stones on south abutment full width were noted, insignificant defect to non structural element.

Spalling to concrete infill between jack arches on south abutment at the following locations (chainage in from the west);

- 1m - Concrete infill spall 0.3m x 0.1m x 35mm deep.
- 1.8m - Concrete infill spall 0.2m x 0.070m x 35mm deep.

- 6m - Concrete infill spall 0.3m x 0.2m x 35mm deep.

Remedial Works

Repair and seal cracks to abutment over 1mm wide and repair any areas of concrete spalling.

Load-bearing Substructure

South Abutment (east end) Vertical cracks 2no. to abutment.



| Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| Abutments (inc arch springing) | 09/Abutments(inc.arch spring) | 3 | С | 3.5 | М | Y | 10 |
| Barra dial Marka | | | | | | | |

Comment

No 9

2no. vertical cracks parallel to each other to south abutment at east end (6m in from the west). Upper crack extending from jack arch infill down through bearing stones and masonry abutment 0.7m in length, open 3mm (upper), 20mm (centre) and 1.5mm (lower), the lower crack extends 1.55m through masonry abutment down to concrete edging / training, open 1mm (upper), 12mm (centre) and hairline (lower).

Vertical crack to north abutment at east end (6m in from the west) extending 1.8m full height from the jack arch through the bearing stones and masonry abutment down to the concrete edging / training, open 1mm (upper), 10mm (above midpoint) and 1mm (lower). An area of brick spalling was noted above the midpoint of the crack or 0.1m x 0.1m x 10mm in depth.

Shrinkage hairline cracks to all jack arch infills above the bearing stones on south abutment full width were noted, insignificant defect to non structural element.

Spalling to concrete infill between jack arches on south abutment at the following locations (chainage in from the west);

- 1m - Concrete infill spall 0.3m x 0.1m x 35mm deep. - 1.8m - Concrete infill spall 0.2m x 0.070m x 35mm deep.

- 6m - Concrete infill spall 0.3m x 0.2m x 35mm deep.

Remedial Works

Repair and seal cracks to abutment over 1mm wide and repair any areas of concrete spalling.

North Abutment (east end) Vertical crack to north abutment.

BOXTED - Span 1 Load-bearing Substructure

| Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| Abutments (inc arch springing) | 09/Abutments(inc.arch spring) | 3 | С | 3.5 | М | Y | 10 |

Comment

No

9

2no. vertical cracks parallel to each other to south abutment at east end (6m in from the west). Upper crack extending from jack arch infill down through bearing stones and masonry abutment 0.7m in length, open 3mm (upper), 20mm (centre) and 1.5mm (lower), the lower crack extends 1.55m through masonry abutment down to concrete edging / training, open 1mm (upper), 12mm (centre) and hairline (lower).

Vertical crack to north abutment at east end (6m in from the west) extending 1.8m full height from the jack arch through the bearing stones and masonry abutment down to the concrete edging / training, open 1mm (upper), 10mm (above midpoint) and 1mm (lower). An area of brick spalling was noted above the midpoint of the crack or 0.1m x 0.1m x 10mm in depth.

Shrinkage hairline cracks to all jack arch infills above the bearing stones on south abutment full width were noted, insignificant defect to non structural element.

Spalling to concrete infill between jack arches on south abutment at the following locations (chainage in from the west);

- 1m - Concrete infill spall 0.3m x 0.1m x 35mm deep. - 1.8m - Concrete infill spall 0.2m x 0.070m x 35mm deep.

- 6m - Concrete infill spall 0.3m x 0.2m x 35mm deep.

Remedial Works

Repair and seal cracks to abutment over 1mm wide and repair any areas of concrete spalling.



Spalling to jack arch concrete infill adjacent channel section (6m in from the west).

South abutment (east end)

BOXTED - Span 1 Load-bearing Substructure



| Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|-------------------------------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| 09/Abutments(inc.arch spring) | 3 | С | 3.5 | М | Y | 10 |

Comment

No 9 **Element Name**

Abutments (inc arch springing)

2no. vertical cracks parallel to each other to south abutment at east end (6m in from the west). Upper crack extending from jack arch infill down through bearing stones and masonry abutment 0.7m in length, open 3mm (upper), 20mm (centre) and 1.5mm (lower), the lower crack extends 1.55m through masonry abutment down to concrete edging / training, open 1mm (upper), 12mm (centre) and hairline (lower).

Vertical crack to north abutment at east end (6m in from the west) extending 1.8m full height from the jack arch through the bearing stones and masonry abutment down to the concrete edging / training, open 1mm (upper), 10mm (above midpoint) and 1mm (lower). An area of brick spalling was noted above the midpoint of the crack or $0.1m \times 0.1m \times 10mm$ in depth.

Shrinkage hairline cracks to all jack arch infills above the bearing stones on south abutment full width were noted, insignificant defect to non structural element.

Spalling to concrete infill between jack arches on south abutment at the following locations (chainage in from the west);

- 1m - Concrete infill spall 0.3m x 0.1m x 35mm deep.
- 1.8m - Concrete infill spall 0.2m x 0.070m x 35mm deep.

- 6m - Concrete infill spall 0.3m x 0.2m x 35mm deep.

Remedial Works

Repair and seal cracks to abutment over 1mm wide and repair any areas of concrete spalling.

South Abutment Weathering to brick faces

BOXTED - Span 1

Load-bearing Substructure

Weigenerging Etemet Name Etemet Description Sev

Element DescriptionSevExtDefectPriorityWorksCost £k09/Abutments(inc.arch spring)2B3.6LN

Remedial Works

[none]

Comment

9

Minor weathering to brick faces on south abutment between 3m - 5m in from the west just above midpoint, area affected $2m \times 0.5m \times 20mm$ weathering depth into brick.

Abutments (inc arch springing)



East Edge Girder Top Flange (looking north) Protective paint top coat flaking.

| Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| Finishes: deck elements | 19/Finishes:deck elements | 3 | Е | 4.1 | Н | Y | 5 |

Comment

No

19

Failure to paintwork on all deck elements with the worst affected areas being at the hogging plate joints, transverse beam bottom flange edges and along girder top and bottom edges.

Remedial Works

Remove defective paintwork and re-apply a new protective paint system to match existing.

Report Status:ApprovedSubmission Count:1

Submitted Date: Print Date: 14/07/2023 31/08/2023

BOXTED - Span 1 **Durability Elements**



South East Approach Rail Protective paint top coat chipped and flaked on railings and concrete posts.

| No | Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|-----|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| 21 | Finishes: parapets/safety fences | 21/Finishes:parapet/saf.fences | 5 | D | 4.1 | М | Y | 1 |
| Cor | Comment Remedial Wo | | | | | | | |

Protective paint top coat chipped and flaked on railings and concrete posts on south east approach rail full length.

Remove defective paintwork and re-apply a new protective paint system to match existing to south east approach railings.

Principal Inspection Report BOXTED

South East Pilaster (NE corner) Bees nest in pilaster void just above

abutment.

BOXTED - Span 1 Safety Elements



| | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|----|--------------------------------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| es | 23/Handrail/parapet/saf.fences | 3 | С | 3.5 | М | Y | 2 |
| | | | | | | | |

Comment

Element Name

Handrail/parapets/safety fence

No

23

North east pilaster has a diagonal stepped crack to east face lower section extending 1.1m from the abutment bearing stone to the wing wall and embankment, open 3.5mm (upper) to hairline (lower) minor brick spalling was noted at crack midpoint to a depth of 25mm. Vertical crack to lower pilaster east face on the north side extending 0.5m, open 1mm. Roots were identified growing within the gap of the crack.

South west pilaster has brick spalling to the upper north east and south east corners and moderate spalling to brick work to the lower south east corner measuring $0.5m \times 0.2m \times 40mm$ maximum depth.

Bees nest in small void in south east pilaster brick work just above abutment in north east corner.

Remedial Works

Seal and repair cracks to brickwork in north east pilaster and south west pilaster.

Report Status:ApprovedSubmission Count:1



No

23

Comment

Principal Inspection Report BOXTED

BOXTED - Span 1 Safety Elements

North East Pilaster (east face)

Stepped diagonal crack extending from bearing stone to wing wall open 3.5mm with vegetation roots growing in the gap.



| Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| Handrail/parapets/safety fences | 23/Handrail/parapet/saf.fences | 3 | С | 3.5 | М | Y | 2 |
| nment | Remedial | Works | | | | | |

North east pilaster has a diagonal stepped crack to east face lower section extending 1.1m from the abutment bearing stone to the wing wall and embankment, open 3.5mm (upper) to hairline (lower) minor brick spalling was noted at crack midpoint to a depth of 25mm. Vertical crack to lower pilaster east face on the north side extending 0.5m, open 1mm. Roots were identified growing within the gap of the crack.

South west pilaster has brick spalling to the upper north east and south east corners and moderate spalling to brick work to the lower south east corner measuring 0.5m x 0.2m x 40mm maximum depth.

Bees nest in small void in south east pilaster brick work just above abutment in north east corner.

Seal and repair cracks to brickwork in north east pilaster and south west pilaster.

No 23 Cor Principal Inspection Report BOXTED

BOXTED - Span 1

North West Pilaster (west face) Pointing loss of up to 10mm in depth between bricks.



| O | Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|----|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------|---------|
| 3 | Handrail/parapets/safety fences | 23/Handrail/parapet/saf.fences | 2 | С | 3.2 | L | Y | 1 |
| om | iment | Remedial W | /orks | | | | | |
| | | ween Replace mis | ssing mortar | to south | west nilast | er north we | st | |

South west pilaster has minor pointing loss between brick work to the lower east face.

North west pilaster has minor pointing loss lower section (just above abutment corner) of west face up to a depth of 10mm. Pointing missing between brick pilaster and concrete abutment bearing stone 0.3m in length creating a gap of 10mm.

North east pilaster has minor pointing loss to upper and lower east face to a depth of 10mm.

Replace missing mortar to south west pilaster, north west pilaster and north east pilaster.

North West Pilaster (west face lower

section) Brick missing along with concrete haunching.



| Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|--|--------------------------------|-------|--------------|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| Handrail/parapets/safety fences | 23/Handrail/parapet/saf.fences | 3 | С | 3.6 | М | Y | 2 |
| mment | Remedial V | Vorks | | | | | |
| المحمرة بالمقصية بمنتجب ومحا ومقصاتها فتحدين والان | national standards and | | dan di katal | | | | |

Comment

No

23

North west pilaster has approximately 1no brick missing along with spalling to the surrounding concrete haunching, area of 230mm x 120mm. 1no brick broken up to lower section of the south west corner adjacent to the abutment. Minor brick face weathering of up to 20mm in depth was noted to east face corner edge of the north west pilaster extending over its full height.

North east pilaster had moderate brick loss to the lower south east corner above abutment bearing stone, there was evidence of missing bricks as there was 1no. loose brick laying on the bearing plate, area affected is 1m x 0.5m x 100mm maximum depth.

Repair and replace missing brick work.

Report Status: Approved Submission Count: 1

BOXTED - Span 1 Safety Elements

North East Pilaster (south east corner) Bricks broken up, loose and missing.



| Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| Handrail/parapets/safety fences | 23/Handrail/parapet/saf.fences | 3 | С | 3.6 | М | Y | 2 |
| mment | Remedial | Works | | | | | |

Comment

No

23

North west pilaster has approximately 1no brick missing along with spalling to the surrounding concrete haunching, area of 230mm x 120mm. 1no brick broken up to lower section of the south west corner adjacent to the abutment. Minor brick face weathering of up to 20mm in depth was noted to east face corner edge of the north west pilaster extending over its full height.

North east pilaster had moderate brick loss to the lower south east corner above abutment bearing stone, there was evidence of missing bricks as there was 1no. loose brick laying on the bearing plate, area affected is 1m x 0.5m x 100mm maximum depth.

Repair and replace missing brick work.

BOXTED - Span 1



| No | Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|-----|--|--------------------------------|-----------|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| 23 | Handrail/parapets/safety fences | 23/Handrail/parapet/saf.fences | 3 | С | 5.1 | Н | Y | 1 |
| Con | iment | Remedial | Works | | | | | |
| | stump growing adjacent south face of nort ster above abutment. | h west Remove v | egetation | | | | | |

Ivy growing around the south west pilaster west face.

Tree growing adjacent south east pilaster at south east corner.

Principal Inspection Report BOXTED

Tree growing adjacent SE corner of pilaster.

BOXTED - Span 1 Safety Elements



| No Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|--|--------------------------------|----------|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| 23 Handrail/parapets/safety fences | 23/Handrail/parapet/saf.fences | 3 | С | 5.1 | Н | Y | 1 |
| Comment | Remedial V | Vorks | | | | | |
| Ivy stump growing adjacent south face of n pilaster above abutment. | orth west Remove ve | getation | | | | | |

Ivy growing around the south west pilaster west face.

Tree growing adjacent south east pilaster at south east corner.

Safety Elements

Carriageway Surface (south end)

Inspection Date:

Identifier:

Shallow potholes 3no. to south end.



| Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| Carriageway surfacing | 24/Carriageway surfacing | 2 | С | 9.4 | L | Y | 2.5 |
| ıment | Remedial Wor | ks | | | | | |

Comment

No

24

3no shallow potholes to carriageway asphalt surface at the south end. Pothole to the west side measures 1.2m x0.3m x 20mm in depth, centre concrete filled bore hole measures 0.250m x 0.250m x 15mm in depth and the pothole to the east side measures 0.8m x 0.1m x 20mm in depth.

Repair potholes and repaint centre lane markings

White carriageway centre lane markings faded full span.



Element Description Cost £k No Element Name Sev Ext Defect Priority Works Carriageway surfacing 24/Carriageway surfacing 2 9.2 10 24 С γ L **Remedial Works** Comment Resurface carriageway level. Tracking was noted from the south extending 10m in both lanes either side of centre line with evidence of farm vehicle tyre marks in the dips, both tracking lanes measured 10m x 0.6m wide. Approved

Report Status: Submission Count: 1

BridgeStation

Submitted Date: **Print Date:**

Tracking to both carriageway lanes for 10m.

14/07/2023 31/08/2023

Principal Inspection Report BOXTED

Safety Elements

Cost £k

2

Works

East Carriageway (midspan) Concrete edge fillet broken up.



Element Description

25/Fway/verge/fbrdge surfacing

25/Fway/verge/fbrdge surfacing

Element Name No

| 25 | Footway/verge/footbridge |
|----|--------------------------|
| | surfacing |

Comment

Concrete fillet between east carriageway kerbing and east edge girder cracked and broken up between 5m to 7m in from the south.

Remedial Works

Replace concrete fillet between east carriageway kerbing and east edge girder.

Ext

С

Sev

4



West Carriageway Edge

Defect

5.1

Ext

D

3

Priority

н

Defect

9.2

Grasses and weeds growing along between edge kerbing and edge girder.

Priority

Н

| No Element Name |
|-----------------|
|-----------------|

Footway/verge/footbridge 25 surfacing

Comment

Weeds and grasses growing along between east and west edge kerbing and edge girders full length.

Remedial Works

Remove vegetation.

BridgeStation

Cost £k

0.3

Works

Υ

BOXTED - Span 1

Other Bridge Elements



North River Bed (4m in from the west) Submerged rope and broken timber post (bottom left)

| No | Element Name | Element Description | 5 | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|--|------------------|----------------------------------|---|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| 26 | Invert/river bed | 26/Invert/river bed | | 2 | С | 7.2 | Н | Y | 1 |
| Con | nment | Remedial Works | | | | | | | |
| Broken jagged timber posts along river bed edges | | Remove submerged posts and rope. | | | | | | | |

Broken jagged timber posts along river bed edges approximately between 200mm-400mm in height adjacent both abutments some submerged, a submerged broken timber post was noted to the north river bed 4m in from the west and 2m from north abutment.

There was a submerged length of rope to the north river bed adjacent the abutment tied to broken timber posts at 1m and 4m in from the west.

Report Status:ApprovedSubmission Count:1

BOXTED - Span 1

Other Bridge Elements



| | River Bed in front of South Abutment. | |
|----|---------------------------------------|--|
| 25 | Busken timber neets at river had adap | |

Broken timber posts at river bed edge.

| | | and the second second | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----------------------|--|---------|-----------|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| No | Element Name | Element Description | | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
| 26 | Invert/river bed | 26/Invert/river bed | | 2 | С | 7.2 | Н | Y | 1 |
| Con | Comment | | | ; | | | | | |
| Bro | Broken jagged timber posts along river bed edges | | | ged pos | sts and r | ope. | | | |

Br approximately between 200mm-400mm in height adjacent both abutments some submerged, a submerged broken timber post was noted to the north river bed 4m in from the west and 2m from north abutment.

There was a submerged length of rope to the north river bed adjacent the abutment tied to broken timber posts at 1m and 4m in from the west.



West River Bed (midspan) Minor scour, slightly deeper area of river

| | | - | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|---------------|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| No Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
| 26 Invert/river bed | 26/Invert/river bed | 2 | С | 7.1 | L | Ν | |
| Comment | R | emedial Works | | | | | |
| Minor scour to centre of river bed girder. Scour not affecting structu inspection. (Refer to attached sco | none] | | | | | | |

Report Status: Approved Submission Count: 1



Minor scour to centre of river bed below west edge girder. Scour not affecting structure at time of inspection. (Refer to attached scour form)

[none]

BOXTED - Span 1

Other Bridge Elements



North East Wing Wall (looking north) Wing wall cracked through over full height, separated and significantly displaced.

| No Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k | |
|--|---------------------|--|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|--|
| 31 Wing walls | 31/Wing walls | 5 | E | 3.1 | Н | Y | 15 | |
| Comment | Remedial Works | | | | | | | |
| North east wing wall cracked, separated and displaced at | | Replace / repair damaged section of wing walls | | | | | | |

N south end between drainage outlet and pilaster. The stepped vertical crack extended 1.3m and was open 250mm in gap width, the wing wall north of the crack had displaced 90mm eastwards.

Concrete plinth at the base of the north east wing wall had displaced 60mm eastwards at south end.

The top two courses of bricks on the south west wing wall have separated due to tree roots and vegetation extending full length and open a maximum of 30mm at west end, a maximum of 25mm at midpoint and a maximum of 60mm at east end. The top two brick courses at west end of the wing wall had displaced 30mm northwards and the 5th - 7th brick courses down from the top have displaced 20mm northwards.

The top two courses of bricks on the south east wing wall have separated due to tree roots from 3m from the west, the top course extended 4m, open a maximum of 35mm and the second course down extending 7m, open 50mm (west end) and 40mm (east end).

Inspection Type: Principal Inspection Report Structure Name: BOXTED

North East Wing Wall (looking west) Gap separation maximum 250mm at widest

part.

BOXTED - Span 1





| No | Element Name |
|----|--------------|
| 31 | Wing walls |

Element Description 31/Wing walls

Comment

North east wing wall cracked, separated and displaced at south end between drainage outlet and pilaster. The stepped vertical crack extended 1.3m and was open 250mm in gap width, the wing wall north of the crack had displaced 90mm eastwards.

Concrete plinth at the base of the north east wing wall had displaced 60mm eastwards at south end.

The top two courses of bricks on the south west wing wall have separated due to tree roots and vegetation extending full length and open a maximum of 30mm at west end, a maximum of 25mm at midpoint and a maximum of 60mm at east end. The top two brick courses at west end of the wing wall had displaced 30mm northwards and the 5th - 7th brick courses down from the top have displaced 20mm northwards.

The top two courses of bricks on the south east wing wall have separated due to tree roots from 3m from the west, the top course extended 4m, open a maximum of 35mm and the second course down extending 7m, open 50mm (west end) and 40mm (east end).

Remedial Works

Replace / repair damaged section of wing walls

Ext

Е

Defect

3.1

Priority

Н

Works

Υ

Cost £k

15

Sev

5

Other Bridge Elements

North East Wing Wall (looking north) Concrete plinth at the base of wing wall displaced by 60mm.

Inspection Date:

Identifier:



| No | Element Name | Element Description | | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|--|--------------|--|---------------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| 31 | Wing walls | 31/Wing walls | | 5 | Е | 3.1 | Н | Y | 15 |
| Comment Re | | | Remedial Work | s | | | | | |
| North east wing wall cracked, separated and displaced at | | Replace / repair damaged section of wing walls | | | | | | | |

south end between drainage outlet and pilaster. The stepped vertical crack extended 1.3m and was open 250mm in gap width, the wing wall north of the crack had displaced 90mm eastwards.

Concrete plinth at the base of the north east wing wall had displaced 60mm eastwards at south end.

The top two courses of bricks on the south west wing wall have separated due to tree roots and vegetation extending full length and open a maximum of 30mm at west end, a maximum of 25mm at midpoint and a maximum of 60mm at east end. The top two brick courses at west end of the wing wall had displaced 30mm northwards and the 5th - 7th brick courses down from the top have displaced 20mm northwards.

The top two courses of bricks on the south east wing wall have separated due to tree roots from 3m from the west, the top course extended 4m, open a maximum of 35mm and the second course down extending 7m, open 50mm (west end) and 40mm (east end).

BOXTED - Span 1

Other Bridge Elements



| No | Element Name |
|----|--------------|
| 31 | Wing walls |

Element Description 31/Wing walls

Comment

North east wing wall cracked, separated and displaced at south end between drainage outlet and pilaster. The stepped vertical crack extended 1.3m and was open 250mm in gap width, the wing wall north of the crack had displaced 90mm eastwards.

Concrete plinth at the base of the north east wing wall had displaced 60mm eastwards at south end.

The top two courses of bricks on the south west wing wall have separated due to tree roots and vegetation extending full length and open a maximum of 30mm at west end, a maximum of 25mm at midpoint and a maximum of 60mm at east end. The top two brick courses at west end of the wing wall had displaced 30mm northwards and the 5th - 7th brick courses down from the top have displaced 20mm northwards.

The top two courses of bricks on the south east wing wall have separated due to tree roots from 3m from the west, the top course extended 4m, open a maximum of 35mm and the second course down extending 7m, open 50mm (west end) and 40mm (east end).

Remedial Works

Sev

5

Replace / repair damaged section of wing walls

Ext

Е

Defect

3.1

Priority

Н

Works

Υ

Cost £k

15

South West Wing Wall (east end) The top two brick courses were separated

up to 60mm due to tree roots.

BOXTED - Span 1 Other Bridge Elements



No Element Name 31 Wing walls Element Description 31/Wing walls

Comment

North east wing wall cracked, separated and displaced at south end between drainage outlet and pilaster. The stepped vertical crack extended 1.3m and was open 250mm in gap width, the wing wall north of the crack had displaced 90mm eastwards.

Concrete plinth at the base of the north east wing wall had displaced 60mm eastwards at south end.

The top two courses of bricks on the south west wing wall have separated due to tree roots and vegetation extending full length and open a maximum of 30mm at west end, a maximum of 25mm at midpoint and a maximum of 60mm at east end. The top two brick courses at west end of the wing wall had displaced 30mm northwards and the 5th - 7th brick courses down from the top have displaced 20mm northwards.

The top two courses of bricks on the south east wing wall have separated due to tree roots from 3m from the west, the top course extended 4m, open a maximum of 35mm and the second course down extending 7m, open 50mm (west end) and 40mm (east end).

Remedial Works

Replace / repair damaged section of wing walls

5

Ext

Е

Defect

3.1

Priority

Н

Works

Y

Cost £k

15

BOXTED - Span 1 **Other Bridge Elements**



Element Name No 31 Wing walls

Element Description 31/Wing walls

Comment

North east wing wall cracked, separated and displaced at south end between drainage outlet and pilaster. The stepped vertical crack extended 1.3m and was open 250mm in gap width, the wing wall north of the crack had displaced 90mm eastwards.

Concrete plinth at the base of the north east wing wall had displaced 60mm eastwards at south end.

The top two courses of bricks on the south west wing wall have separated due to tree roots and vegetation extending full length and open a maximum of 30mm at west end, a maximum of 25mm at midpoint and a maximum of 60mm at east end. The top two brick courses at west end of the wing wall had displaced 30mm northwards and the 5th - 7th brick courses down from the top have displaced 20mm northwards.

The top two courses of bricks on the south east wing wall have separated due to tree roots from 3m from the west, the top course extended 4m, open a maximum of 35mm and the second course down extending 7m, open 50mm (west end) and 40mm (east end).

Remedial Works

Replace / repair damaged section of wing walls

5

Ext

Е

Defect

3.1

Priority

Н

Works

Y

Cost £k

15
BOXTED - Span 1

Other Bridge Elements



| No | Element Name | Element Description | | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|--|--------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| 31 | Wing walls | 31/Wing walls | | 3 | D | 3.7 | Н | Y | 15 |
| Comment | | | Remedial Works | | | | | | |
| North east wing wall north end leaning approximately | | | Replace / repair damaged wing walls | | | | | | |

North east wing wall north end leaning approximately 100mm eastwards due to tree growing on top of wing wall.

Moderate bulging to south west wing wall at midpoint from 6m - 17m in from the west end, the area affected was approximately 11m in length and 1m in height.

Report Status:ApprovedSubmission Count:1

Submitted Date: Print Date:

South West Wing Wall (looking west) Moderate buldging to midpoint of brickwork.

BOXTED - Span 1 Other Bridge Elements

| No | Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|---------|--------------|---------------------|----------------|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| 31 | Wing walls | 31/Wing walls | 3 | D | 3.7 | Н | Y | 15 |
| Comment | | | Remedial Works | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

North east wing wall north end leaning approximately 100mm eastwards due to tree growing on top of wing wall.

Moderate bulging to south west wing wall at midpoint from 6m - 17m in from the west end, the area affected was approximately 11m in length and 1m in height.

Report Status: Approved Submission Count: 1

Submitted Date: **Print Date:**



Replace / repair damaged wing walls

BOXTED - Span 1 Other Bridge Elements



| No | Element Name |
|----|--------------|
| 31 | Wing walls |

Element Description 31/Wing walls

| Sev | |
|-----|--|
| 3 | |
| | |

Comment

Small area of bricks breaking up to upper section of north east wing wall 2m in from the south to a maximum depth of 30mm.

Small areas of bricks breaking up at the bottom of south west wing wall at 4m, 10m, 13m, 14m and 17m in from the west with the worst area being at 4m in where a depth of 40mm into the brick was recorded. Area of broken bricks to upper section at 14m in from the west of 1m x 0.7m x 15mm maximum depth into the brick work.

Brick loss to north west wing wall section (adjacent concrete plinth) at south end with bricks breaking up to a depth of 120mm and loose with 1no. brick laying on the embankment.

Remedial Works

Repair / replace areas of brick damage

Ext

В

Defect

3.6

Priority

L

Works

Y

Cost £k

5

South West Wing Wall (west end) Brickwork breaking up at bottom of wing wall to a maximum depth of 40mm.

BOXTED - Span 1

Other Bridge Elements



No Element Name 31 Wing walls Element Description 31/Wing walls

Comment

Small area of bricks breaking up to upper section of north east wing wall 2m in from the south to a maximum depth of 30mm.

Small areas of bricks breaking up at the bottom of south west wing wall at 4m, 10m, 13m, 14m and 17m in from the west with the worst area being at 4m in where a depth of 40mm into the brick was recorded. Area of broken bricks to upper section at 14m in from the west of 1m x 0.7m x 15mm maximum depth into the brick work.

Brick loss to north west wing wall section (adjacent concrete plinth) at south end with bricks breaking up to a depth of 120mm and loose with 1no. brick laying on the embankment.

Remedial Works

Repair / replace areas of brick damage

3

Ext

В

Defect

3.6

Priority

L

Works

Y

Cost £k

5

Report Status:ApprovedSubmission Count:1

Submitted Date: Print Date: 14/07/2023 31/08/2023



North West Wing Wall (lower section) Bricks breaking up and loose at south end of

the wall.

BOXTED - Span 1 Other Bridge Elements



| No Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|-------------------------------------|--|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| 31 Wing walls | 31/Wing walls | 3 | В | 3.6 | L | Y | 5 |
| Comment | Remedial Works | | | | | | |
| Small area of bricks breaking up to | Renair / replace areas of brick damage | | | | | | |

Small area of bricks breaking up to upper section of north east wing wall 2m in from the south to a maximum depth of 30mm.

Small areas of bricks breaking up at the bottom of south west wing wall at 4m, 10m, 13m, 14m and 17m in from the west with the worst area being at 4m in where a depth of 40mm into the brick was recorded. Area of broken bricks to upper section at 14m in from the west of 1m x 0.7m x 15mm maximum depth into the brick work.

Brick loss to north west wing wall section (adjacent concrete plinth) at south end with bricks breaking up to a depth of 120mm and loose with 1no. brick laying on the embankment.

Repair / replace areas of brick damage

BOXTED - Span 1



Element Name No 31 Wing walls

Element Description 31/Wing walls

| | | Sev |
|--|--|-----|
| | | 3 |
| | | |

Comment

North east wing wall had a vertical stepped crack 1m in from the south end extending 0.4m from top of the wall to the top of the redundant drainage outlet, open 15mm.

Multiple horizontal cracks to brickwork between brick courses on south west wing wall with crack to the lower section at west end, cracks to the centre area at wall midpoint and cracks to the upper section at east end, the worst of these was at 8m in from the west 9 brick course up from the base extending 3m, open 10mm, crack have ivy growing through the gaps.

Multiple vertical stepped cracks to south west wing wall between 13m - 17m in from the west with the worst being at 14m in from the west extending from the 2nd brick course down 0.6m and open a maximum of 10mm.

Diagonal crack through brick work to south west wing wall at 13m in from the west extending 0.7m, open 1mm (upper), 2mm-6mm (centre) and 3.5mm-2mm (lower). Diagonal crack at 16m in from the west upper section extending from pattress plate 0.7m through brick work, open 1mm with minor brick loss at crack midpoint to a depth of 20mm.

Horizontal crack to south east wing wall at 3m in from the west to lower section extending 2m, open 30mm with ivy growing through the gap.

Vertical cracks extending from top of south east wing wall at 0.5m, 1m and 3m in from the west, the worst being at 0.5m in from the west extending 0.4m, open 2mm (upper), 5mm (centre) and 3mm (lower).

Vertical and horizontal stepped cracking lower north west wing wall section (adjacent to concrete plinth) west face extending 0.8m, open 4mm.

З

North East Wing Wall Stepped crack open 15mm, extending from near top of the wall to the top of the redundant drainage outlet.

Remedial Works

Repair cracks to brickwork in all four wing walls.

Ext

С

Defect

3.5

Priority

М

Works

Υ

Cost £k

5

Report Status: Approved Submission Count: 1

BridgeStation

Submitted Date: **Print Date:** 14/07/2023 31/08/2023

Horizontal 30mm wide crack with vegetation growing through the gap at 3m in from the

Driority

Marke

South East Wing Wall

west end of the wall.

BOXTED - Span 1

Other Bridge Elements



Element Name No 31 Wing walls

Element Deti 31/Wir

Comment

North east wing wall had a vertical stepped crack 1m in from the south end extending 0.4m from top of the wall to the top of the redundant drainage outlet, open 15mm.

Multiple horizontal cracks to brickwork between brick courses on south west wing wall with crack to the lower section at west end, cracks to the centre area at wall midpoint and cracks to the upper section at east end, the worst of these was at 8m in from the west 9 brick course up from the base extending 3m, open 10mm, crack have ivy growing through the gaps.

Multiple vertical stepped cracks to south west wing wall between 13m - 17m in from the west with the worst being at 14m in from the west extending from the 2nd brick course down 0.6m and open a maximum of 10mm.

Diagonal crack through brick work to south west wing wall at 13m in from the west extending 0.7m, open 1mm (upper), 2mm-6mm (centre) and 3.5mm-2mm (lower). Diagonal crack at 16m in from the west upper section extending from pattress plate 0.7m through brick work, open 1mm with minor brick loss at crack midpoint to a depth of 20mm.

Horizontal crack to south east wing wall at 3m in from the west to lower section extending 2m, open 30mm with ivy growing through the gap.

Vertical cracks extending from top of south east wing wall at 0.5m, 1m and 3m in from the west, the worst being at 0.5m in from the west extending 0.4m, open 2mm (upper), 5mm (centre) and 3mm (lower).

Vertical and horizontal stepped cracking lower north west wing wall section (adjacent to concrete plinth) west face extending 0.8m, open 4mm.

| ant Description | • | JEV | LAL | Delect | Priority | WUIKS | COSLER | |
|-----------------|----------------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|--------|--|
| ing walls | | 3 | С | 3.5 | М | Y | 5 | |
| | Remedial Works | | | | | | | |

Repair cracks to brickwork in all four wing walls.

Report Status: Approved Submission Count: 1

Submitted Date: **Print Date:**

Pointing loss to upper section between bricks to a maximum depth of 20mm.

BOXTED - Span 1

Other Bridge Elements



| No Element Name | Element Description | | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|--|---------------------------------|---|----------|-------------|---------------|----------|-------|---------|
| 31 Wing walls | 31/Wing walls | | 2 | С | 3.2 | L | Y | 1 |
| Comment | Remedial Works | | | | | | | |
| Pointing loss to a depth of 20mm of wall to upper section and midpoint south end to the north end. | Replace missing south west wing | • | g mortar | to north ea | ast wing wall | and to | | |

Sporadic pointing loss to south west wing wall between horizontal cracks where vegetation was growing between brick courses full length, worst area being at centre 12m-14m in from the west.

South West Wing Wall (west end,

Roots growing up against wing wall at 1m -

looking east)

10m in from the west.

BOXTED - Span 1

Other Bridge Elements



No Element Name 31 Wing walls Element Description 31/Wing walls

-

Comment

Tree growing on top of the north east wing wall at north end causing leaning.

Tree roots and ivy roots growing on structure at 0m, 1m, 1.5m, 3m, 7m and 9m in from the west. ivy roots growing through horizontal cracks full length of wing wall. Tree roots had pushed approximately 3no. bricks off of the top brick course at east end. Tree growing on top of the south west wing wall at 15m in from the west and roots were vertically separating the top course of bricks by 15mm. Large tree growing up against west end of wing wall.

Trees growing on top of the south east wing wall damaging brick work at 0.5m, 1m, 2m and 3m in from the west. Tree growing adjacent wing wall at 4m in from the west and tree stumps growing from base of wing wall at 1.5m and 3.5m in from the west with ivy growing out of brickwork at midpoint at the west end.

Trees and saplings growing on top of north west wing wall with 2no large trees at 1.5m in from the south and at north end, with roots growing under the base and adjacent the north end of wingwall. Vegetation growing out of concrete plinth along the top and along the base.

Remedial Works

Possible investigation in to tree removal to prevent further structural damage.

Ext

С

4

Defect

5.1

Priority

Н

Works

Υ

Cost £k

10

BOXTED - Span 1

Other Bridge Elements



South West Wing Wall (east end) Tree root has pushed approximately 3no bricks off of top course.

| No Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k | |
|---------------------------|--|--|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|--|
| 31 Wing walls | 31/Wing walls | 4 | С | 5.1 | н | Y | 10 | |
| Comment | Reme | Remedial Works | | | | | | |
| Tree growing on top of th | e north east wing wall at north Possib | Possible investigation in to tree removal to prevent further | | | | | | |

Tree growing on top of the north east wing wall at north end causing leaning.

Tree roots and ivy roots growing on structure at 0m, 1m, 1.5m, 3m, 7m and 9m in from the west. ivy roots growing through horizontal cracks full length of wing wall. Tree roots had pushed approximately 3no. bricks off of the top brick course at east end. Tree growing on top of the south west wing wall at 15m in from the west and roots were vertically separating the top course of bricks by 15mm. Large tree growing up against west end of wing wall.

Trees growing on top of the south east wing wall damaging brick work at 0.5m, 1m, 2m and 3m in from the west. Tree growing adjacent wing wall at 4m in from the west and tree stumps growing from base of wing wall at 1.5m and 3.5m in from the west with ivy growing out of brickwork at midpoint at the west end.

Trees and saplings growing on top of north west wing wall with 2no large trees at 1.5m in from the south and at north end, with roots growing under the base and adjacent the north end of wingwall. Vegetation growing out of concrete plinth along the top and along the base. Possible investigation in to tree removal to prevent further structural damage.

BridgeStation

Submitted Date: Print Date:

Page 82 of 100

North East Wing Wall Moss growing on brick surface.



| | | March 12 has the to the | ALC: NO | 8 | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| Element Name | Element Description | | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
| Wing walls | 31/Wing walls | | 2 | D | 20.1 | L | Ν | |
| nment | | Remedial Work | s | | | | | |
| ss to the top of north east wing v | [none] | | | | | | | |

Moss to the top of north east wing wall full length and to concrete plinth at the south end base of the wing wall.



South West Wing Wall (east end) Pattress plates and tie rods are heavily corroded.

| S. 16.5 | and the second | | ALC: NO | | | | | |
|---------|--|---------------------|---------|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| No | Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
| 31 | Wing walls | 31/Wing walls | 3 | E | 1.1 | М | Y | 4 |
| Cor | nmont | Romodial Works | | | | | | |

Comment

31 Com

All tie rod pattress plates on south west wing wall had surface corrosion at 10m, 13m, 15m and 17m in from the west end.

Remedial Works

Replace pattress plates and tie rod nuts.

South West Embankment (west end,

looking west)

wing wall on embankment.

BOXTED - Span 1

Other Bridge Elements



| No Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| 33 Embankments | 33/Embankments | 3 | D | 5.1 | Н | Y | 8 | | | | |
| Comment | Remedial Works | | | | | | | | | | |
| NE embankment had a tree trunk | Removal of trees to prevent further structural damage. | | | | | | | | | | |

NE embankment had a tree trunk growing approximately 0.8m from abutment but the roots are adjacent the structure.

SE embankment had trees growing adjacent wing wall at 2m and 4m in from the west.

SW embankment had a large tree growing adjacent the west end of wing wall and roots are growing on wing wall at 1m, 2m, 4m, 7m and 9m in from the west.

Report Status: Approved Submission Count: 1

Submitted Date: **Print Date:**



BOXTED - Span 1

Other Bridge Elements



| 12 | South West Embankment (west end, |
|-------|-------------------------------------|
| 111 | looking east) |
| 5 9 1 | Tree roots growing on wing wall and |
| 14 | embankment. |

| No | Element Name | Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|-----|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|-------|---------|
| 33 | Embankments | 33/Embankments | 3 | D | 5.1 | Н | Y | 8 |
| Con | nment | Rem | edial Works | | | | | |
| NE | embankment had a tree trun | k growing approximately Rem | oval of trees to pre | event fur | ther structu | ral damage. | | |

0.8m from abutment but the roots are adjacent the structure.

SE embankment had trees growing adjacent wing wall at 2m and 4m in from the west.

SW embankment had a large tree growing adjacent the west end of wing wall and roots are growing on wing wall at 1m, 2m, 4m, 7m and 9m in from the west.

BOXTED - Span 1 **Ancillary Elements**



South East Approach Rail

Concrete post broken at lower rail joint being held together by reinforcement.

| Name | Element Description | Sev | E |
|---|---------------------|----------|---|
| | REAL PROPERTY OF | ~ 2 | |
| A - STOP | | | |
| R AND | | | |
| A REAL AND A | | / | |
| and set | | 17 | |
| | | | |
| | A CANADA CANADA | | |
| - Alexandre | - ALAN VIS | 4. 1 | |
| | | | |

35 Approach rails/barriers/walls

| Element Description | Sev | Ext | Defect | Priority | Works | Cost £k |
|-------------------------------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|
| Approach rails/barriers/walls | 4 | В | 13.1 | Н | Y | 1.5 |

Replace broken concrete post and D cap.

Remedial Works

Comment

South east approach rail concrete post at east end broken in half at lower railing joint with a gap of 100mm being held together by the 4 strengthening rods in the post which had rusted, the post was relatively solid with little movement. Railing end 'D' capping missing.

South East Approach Rail Concrete post broken at lower rail joint being held together by reinforcement. D end cap missing.



No **Element Name Element Description** Sev Ext Defect Priority Works Cost £k 35 Approach rails/barriers/walls Approach rails/barriers/walls 4 В 13.1 Н Y 1.5

Remedial Works

Comment

South east approach rail concrete post at east end broken in half at lower railing joint with a gap of 100mm being held together by the 4 strengthening rods in the post which had rusted, the post was relatively solid with little movement. Railing end 'D' capping missing.

Replace broken concrete post and D cap.

Report Status: Approved Submission Count: 1

BridgeStation

Submitted Date: **Print Date:** 14/07/2023 31/08/2023

| Inspection Type: | Principal Inspection Report | Inspection Date: | 27/06/2023 |
|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|------------|
| Structure Name: | BOXTED | Identifier: | 0059 |
| Photo Lo | cation Plans | | |

No photo location plans added. Plans must be added to the inspection's folder with Document type: Defect Location Plan. Supported file types: .jpeg .pmg .bmp



Defect Location Plans



1

Report Status:

Submission Count:

14/07/2023

31/08/2023



Drg 2 - Carriageway Topside.JPG

| Report Status: | Approved |
|-------------------|----------|
| Submission Count: | 1 |





Drg 3 - Topside Internal Edge Girders.JPG

| Report Status: | Approved | Submitted Date: | 14/07/2023 |
|-------------------|----------|-----------------|------------|
| Submission Count: | 1 | Print Date: | 31/08/2023 |
| | | | |



Drg 4 - External Edge Girders.JPG

| Report Status: Submission Count: | Approved | Submitted Date: | 14/07/2023 31/08/2023 |
|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| BridgeStatio | n | Print Date: | Page 91 of 100 |



Drg 5 - South West Wing Wall.JPG

| Report Status: | Approved | Submitted Date: | 14/07/2023 |
|-------------------|----------|-----------------|------------|
| Submission Count: | 1 | Print Date: | 31/08/2023 |
| | | | |



0059



Drg 6 - North East Wing Wall and Pilaster.JPG

| Report Status: | Approved | Submitted Date: | 14/07/2023 |
|-------------------|----------|-----------------|------------|
| Submission Count: | 1 | Print Date: | 31/08/2023 |
| | | | |



Drg 7 - North West Wing Wall and Pilaster.JPG

| Report Status: | Approved | Submitted Date: | 14/07/2023 |
|---------------------|----------|-----------------|----------------|
| Submission Count: | 1 | Print Date: | 31/08/2023 |
| BridgeStatio | on | | Page 94 of 100 |



Drg 8 - South East Wing Wall and Pilaster.JPG

| Report Status: | Approved | Submitted Date: | 14/07/2023 |
|-------------------|----------|-----------------|----------------|
| Submission Count: | | Print Date: | 31/08/2023 |
| BridgeStatic | n | | Page 95 of 100 |

0059



Drg 9 - North Abutment.JPG

| Report Status: | Approved | Submitted Date: | 14/07/2023 |
|-------------------|----------|-----------------|------------|
| Submission Count: | 1 | Print Date: | 31/08/2023 |
| | | | |



Drg 10 - South Abutment.JPG

| Report Status: | Approved | Submitted Date: | 14/07/2023 |
|-------------------|----------|-----------------|------------|
| Submission Count: | 1 | Print Date: | 31/08/2023 |
| | | | |



| Inspection Type: | Principal Inspection Report | Inspection Date: | 27/06/2023 |
|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|------------|
| Structure Name: | BOXTED | Identifier: | 0059 |
| General | Arrangement Drawings | | |

No General Arrangment Drawings added. Drawings must be added to the inspection's folder with Document type: General Arrangement Drawings. Supported file types: .jpeg .png .bmp



Inspection Date: Identifier:

Hazard Assessment Sheet Assessment date: 27/06/2023

Assessment date: Assessed by:

Comments:

Access Information:

Parked van in Layby to the north east of structure (Wick Road). Accessed substructure via private garden on the

| south east emb | pankment. | | |
|----------------|---|-------------------|---|
| | s Applicable to this Structure | | |
| Parking of ve | | | |
| Hazard Ref | Hazard Description | Hazard Exists? | Comments: |
| 1 | Causing obstruction to carriageway or pedestrians | | |
| 2 | Parking on private property | | |
| 3 | Getting stuck | | |
| 4 | Obstructing access to properties or land | | |
| 5 | No problems | | Layby to the north east of structure (Wick Road) |
| Inspection of | elements above structure | | |
| Hazard Ref | Hazard Description | Hazard Exists? | Comments: |
| 6 | Fast moving traffic | | |
| 7 | Poor visibility | | |
| 8 | Narrow road | \checkmark | |
| 9 | No refuges/verges | \checkmark | |
| 10 | No problems | | |
| Access to sub | o-structure elements | | |
| Hazard Ref | Hazard Description | Hazard Exists? | Comments: |
| 11 | Steep/slippery embankments | \square | |
| 12 | Dense vegetation | | |
| 13 | Fencing | | |
| 14 | Barbed wire | | |
| 15 | Animals | | |
| 16 | Security devices | | |
| 17 | Private landowners | \square | |
| 18 | High wing walls/unprotected | | |
| 19 | No problems | | |
| Inspection of | sub-structure elements | | |
| Hazard Ref | Hazard Description | Hazard Exists? | Comments: |
| 20 | Structure has limited headroom | | |
| 21 | Structure is poorly lit | | |
| 22 | The structure is submerged | | |
| 23 | Both ends are not visible | | |
| 24 | Watercourse is deep/fast or polluted | | |
| 25 | Invert is uneven/unstable or soft | | |
| 26 | Invert is not visible | | |
| 27 | Structure requires working from height | Ø | Requires pontoon and tower for touch inspection. |
| 28 | Structure requires confined space access | | |
| 29 | Structure needs to be inspected using a boat | | |
| 30 | No problems | | |
| Bearing Inspe | ection | | |
| Hazard Ref | Hazard Description | Hazard Exists? | Comments: |
| 31 | Elevated platform will be needed | | |
| 32 | No problems | | |
| Services | | | |
| Hazard Ref | Hazard Description | Hazard Exists? | Comments: |
| 33 | Overhead services | | |
| 34 | Buried services i.e. apparatus/covers/chambers | | |
| | | | |

Environment Awareness Sheet

| ENVIRONMEN Survey date: 2 | 7/06/2023 | | | |
|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Name of inspector: | | | | |
| General details of structure | 5 | | | |
| ocation | Rural | 🗹 Urban | | |
| Structure Spans: | Water | Carriageway | 🗹 Railway | |
| Asbestos Management | | | | |
| Asbestos exists?: | | | | |
| Date of asbestos report: | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| Last updated by: | | | | |
| Last updated: | | | | |
| Inspection of structure sur | roundings | | | |
| | | | | |
| Water Quality: | Good | ☑ Medium | Poor | |
| Are there any green plants | growing subme | rged in the water? | | \checkmark |
| Are there any plants extend | ding out of the w | vater? | | $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ |
| Is gravel visible on the invo | ert of the riverbe | d of the structure? | | $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ |
| Bird nests: | | Bird droppings | : | |
| In surrounding vegetation | ${\bf \overline{A}}$ | In surrounding v | | \checkmark |
| On the structure | | On the structure | - | V |
| Holes in the ground: | | Bank side vege | | |
| | | Short grass | | |
| Large > 250mm | | Long grass | | |
| Medium 100 - 250mm | | Brambles & Scru | .h | |
| | | | ענ | |
| Small < 100mm | | Trees | | |
| Animal tracks? Additional comments: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Animal droppings? | | | | |
| Additional comments: | | | | |
| Degree of traffic disturband | ce: | Accessibility to | o humans: | |
| Light | | Open | | |
| Medium | | Moderate | | \square |
| Heavy | | Difficult | | |
| Inspection of structure | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Structural features: | Voids: | Large cracks | ☑ Ledges: | |
| | | | | |
| Pate visible | | Noute | | |
| Bats visible | | Newts | | |
| Bat droppings | | Lizards/Snakes | | |
| Oily stains around cracks | | Bird Boxes | | |
| Scratch Marks | | | | |
| Other signs of animal occu | nation: | Bees nest in south | east pilaster (on nort | h east corner) |
| Additional Comments: | | Bees nest in south | | |
| Additional Comments. | | | | |